In the Matter Of:

Town of Sullivans Island v

In Re: Design Review Board

Sullivan's Island Design Review Board September 16, 2015

A. William Roberts, Jr. and Associates
We're About Service... Fast, Accurate and Friendly
(800) 743-DEPO
www.scheduledepo.com



A. William Roberts, Jr. & Associates
court reporting | videography | trial presentation | nationwide scheduling
scheduledepo.com | 800-743-DEPO

| 1 | | |
|----|-----------------|--|
| 2 | Т | OWN OF SULLIVAN'S ISLAND |
| 3 | | DESIGN REVIEW BOARD |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | MEETING BEFORE: | PAT ILDERTON, CHAIRPERSON |
| 12 | DATE: | September 16, 2015 |
| 13 | TIME: | 6:00 PM |
| 14 | LOCATION: | Sullivan's Island Town Hall 2050-B Middle Street |
| 15 | | Sullivan's Island, SC |
| 16 | REPORTED BY: | LORA L. McDANIEL, |
| 17 | TELL ORTHO | Registered Professional Reporter |
| 18 | A. WIL | LIAM ROBERTS, JR. & ASSOCIATES |
| 19 | | Fast, Accurate & Friendly |
| 20 | | 10.20, 11000.200 0 1110.201 |
| 21 | | Hilton Head, SC Myrtle Beach, SC (843) 785-3263 (843) 839-3376 |
| 22 | (013) /22 0111 | (013) 703 3203 (013) 033 33,0 |
| 23 | Columbia SC | Greenville, SC Charlotte, NC |
| 24 | | (864) 234-7030 (704) 573-3919 |
| 25 | | |
| | | |

| 1 | APPEARANCES: |
|----|---|
| 2 | PAT ILDERTON, CHAIRPERSON DUKE WRIGHT, BOARD MEMBER |
| 3 | STEVE HERLONG, BOARD MEMBER BEVERLY BOHAN, BOARD MEMBER |
| 4 | DONNA WEBB, BOARD MEMBER RHONDA SANDERS, BOARD MEMBER |
| 5 | BILLY CRAVER, BOARD MEMBER JOE HENDERSON, ZONING ADMINISTRATOR |
| 6 | RANDY ROBINSON, BUILDING OFFICIAL KAT KENYON, PERMIT TECH |
| 7 | RAI RENION, FERMIT TECH |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | (INDEX AT REAR OF TRANSCRIPT) |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |

| 1 | THE CHAIRPERSON: This is the September |
|----|---|
| 2 | 16, 2015 meeting of the Sullivan's Island Design |
| 3 | Review Board. It is now 6:00. Members in attendance |
| 4 | are Duke Wright, Pat Ilderton, Steve Herlong, Beverly |
| 5 | Bohan is on her way. She's our newest board member. |
| 6 | She's stuck in traffic, so she will appear I think |
| 7 | shortly. And Donna Webb. I always do this, I was |
| 8 | telling him. Donna Webb, Rhonda Sanders, and Billy |
| 9 | Craver. |
| 10 | MR. CRAVER: Don't feel bad, I've known |
| 11 | Pat for 20 years, and he can't remember me. |
| 12 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Approval of the August |
| 13 | minutes. How do we like them? |
| 14 | MR. WRIGHT: So moved. |
| 15 | MR. CRAVER: Second. |
| 16 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Everybody in favor? |
| 17 | (All board members stated aye.) |
| 18 | 2118 PETTIGREW STREET |
| 19 | THE CHAIRPERSON: 2118 Pettigrew, |
| 20 | addition. |
| 21 | MR. HENDERSON: This is agenda item C-1. |
| 22 | It's a certificate of appropriateness for a historic |
| 23 | property located at 2118 Pettigrew Street. The |
| 24 | applicant for this property, Ms. Heather Wilson, is |
| 25 | requesting preliminary final approval and relocate |

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

traditional island resource and incorporate a
two-story addition on the portion of the property
fronting Pettigrew Street.

This property is defined as a traditional island resource by way of resource card, historic survey card 169. It's known as the Klofton Boarding house, Igo Inn, constructed in 1885. The property was heavily altered following Hurricane Hugo.

We reviewed this project during our last meeting and several modifications have taken place from the packet that you received. They relocated the site plan slightly so they modified the side setbacks. However, the project still falls in compliance with the zoning ordinance.

No relief is requested for this construction; however, it requires a COA because it is a highly significant historic structure.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Great. Yes, ma'am.

MR. HENDERSON: Copy of site plan, if you want to take one.

MS. WILSON: The massing is very similar to what I presented in three dimension at the last meeting. This is the original house here that we have rotated and now faces Pettigrew. This is a small one story height and allows us to create a

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

courtyard to enclose the pool and have some privacy
for their outside space. And this is the two-story
addition that faces the water.

This massing I showed you before, this again is in relationship to the neighborhood. As you can see, it's fairly well in keeping both in terms of the front and rear as well as the overall size of the house. It's overall now 3,700 square feet, so not too big.

This is where we were at our last hearing. It hadn't had the property surveyed, so it was very loose. This is it on the current survey. As you can see, we are keeping the front alignment the same as what is currently there with the traditional house. The red line here is the original house. The original house actually is noncompliant and comes into this side yard setback as well as has a ten-foot deck that protrudes forward.

This also does not have porch on it right now. Now everything that is along Station 22 will have a porch.

This is in relationship to our neighbor here on their survey next door, showing how it relates to that house. They had some concern with the proximity of this to their house. And that's the

2.2

difference that Joe is referring to in the packet from this. We've just reversed the setback. So it was 15 and 25 and it's now 25 and 15 out of respect to the neighbor's request for a little more space for their house and yard.

These are just a few more three-dimensional drawings. That's a bird's eye.

This is a pedestrian view coming off the beach, looking at the addition. This is coming, walking by in front of the house.

This is another bird's eye from the other direction. This is how you would see the house as you come down Station 22. This is if you're walking down, looking directly at the restored original structure that's here, that's got the front porch. As you can see in this one, there's a lot of porch facing -- all the streets have a porch frontage.

And then we have landscape, enclosed courtyard to really hide that pool from the public right-of-way, keeping the house that way.

This was also just because there was some concern about the house from the neighbor over here.

Illustrating these trees are based on the survey. It really is quite well enclosed with these existing live oaks, in line with a lot of its neighbors.

I think that's it. I have not changed the elevation. Only changed -- those are all accurate.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Great. Thank you.

Is there any public comment to this application? I have one letter to read. No public comments.

For the attention of the Sullivan's Island Design, good evening. We are the owners of 2114 Pettigrew Street and are writing in reference to the application on 2118 Pettigrew Street, which is on the agenda for September 16th.

We saw the conceptual drawings approved on August 19, 2015. We are concerned because they appear to show the new addition on 2118 moving forward to Pettigrew by 16 feet as well as up to our setback at 15 feet on the shared property line. We are alarmed by the loss of privacy from overlooking out property, potential loss of the live oak trees and loss of morning light if the house were moved forward.

We wrote to Mr. and Mrs. Gilhuly three weeks ago on August 24th to express our concerns. They very kindly answered immediately and agreed to examine these issues. Last week Mr. and Mrs. Gilhuly sent us a revised site plan which shows the house set

2.2

Duke.

THE CHAIRPERSON:

| 1 | MR. WRIGHT: I'm fine with this. I made |
|----|---|
| 2 | my comments last time, and they stand today. |
| 3 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Also I think I'm good |
| 4 | with it. Steve. |
| 5 | MR. HERLONG: This is first time I've seen |
| 6 | it. It is a very creative solution. Even though |
| 7 | you're allowed additional setback to the neighbor, |
| 8 | it's still off the street further by four or five |
| 9 | feet. I think it's great. The adjustments are fine. |
| 10 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Donna. |
| 11 | MS. WEBB: I have no issues with it. I |
| 12 | think it looks wonderful. |
| 13 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Great. Rhonda. |
| 14 | MS. SANDERS: Second; I think it looks |
| 15 | great. |
| 16 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Billy. |
| 17 | MR. CRAVER: Lovely. |
| 18 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Do I hear a motion? |
| 19 | MR. CRAVER: I move we give it final |
| 20 | approval as submitted. |
| 21 | MS. WEBB: Second. |
| 22 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Everybody in favor? |
| 23 | (All board members stated aye.) |
| 24 | 1711 ATLANTIC AVENUE |
| 25 | THE CHAIRPERSON: 1711 Atlantic, new |

construction.

2.2

MR. HENDERSON: Agenda item D1 is a COA request. It's nonhistoric property, 1711 Atlantic.

Mr. Paul Bird is the applicant here requesting preliminary or final approval for new home construction and modification to zoning standards for principle building square footage, principal building side facade and second story side setback.

We reviewed this project at our last meeting and, during the review, the DRB requested modification to several elements of the project; the first being incorporating additional detail, architectural detail to the side elevations to address the 30-foot articulation requirement.

Also to address a Storm Water Management Plan at the request of several of the neighbors adjacent to this property.

Also, there was a request to modify the porch on the Atlantic Avenue side of the proposed construction. Currently, I believe it's a five-foot deep porch. And those are your three comments to address.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Great. Yes, sir.

MR. BIRD: What we did for the articulation on the side is we actually --

your name.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

| 7 | 7 |
|-----|-----|
| - 1 | - 1 |

MS. KENYON: Excuse me, you need to say

MR. BIRD: Paul Bird. So on the side elevations, you can see the articulation now. We have columns going all the way up through on both sides.

We also change the way the siding going from board batten to a traditional siding in that area.

And last time we addressed the second floor setback by doing the eyebrow roof. That came down, which seemed to be acceptable for not having setback on second floor.

And you also mentioned about the front porch. We thought we were going to be able to make an exception to be able to pull that out for variance. There's no variance for that. And the house is actually considered oceanfront, so it really doesn't have a front.

The back porch, we didn't know if that would count as our deep foot porch. It's actually a nine foot porch, and we have two of those.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

Is there any public comment to this application? Yes, ma'am.

| 1 | MS. COMPTON: Well, my question was |
|----|--|
| 2 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Say who you are. |
| 3 | MS. COMPTON: Cindy Compton, at 1715 |
| 4 | Atlantic. And I have a couple of concerns about the |
| 5 | storm water situation because it does flood quite a |
| 6 | bit in that area. |
| 7 | And then also the style. It's not |
| 8 | characteristic of the neighborhood. The neighborhood |
| 9 | has more of an island style whereas, you know, this |
| 10 | is just a straight line. Straight. Straight lines. |
| 11 | And I see where you said you have the |
| 12 | columns but you don't have them adjusting in or out. |
| 13 | It's just still all straight. |
| 14 | MR. BIRD: The columns do protrude out |
| 15 | from the existing house 12 inches. That does come |
| 16 | out. |
| 17 | MS. COMPTON: One foot. |
| 18 | MR. BIRD: Yes, one foot. The wall itself |
| 19 | is set back. That is flush. |
| 20 | MS. COMPTON: My concern was where is this |
| 21 | wall that how long is the house? |
| 22 | MR. BIRD: The total length of the actual |
| 23 | house is 55 feet. |
| 24 | MS. COMPTON: 55 feet. So running along |
| 25 | our driveway will be a wall 55 feet long. |

three feet or five feet?

2.2

MR. BIRD: It's five feet.

MR. HENDERSON: Five-foot front porch here and eight or nine-foot porch facing --

MR. BIRD: Nine-foot back porch.

MR. HENDERSON: The driveway point of egress to the property will be here. Leading to the driveway, you have a driveway to a neighbor's home here. And then another driveway here.

MR. BIRD: Yes, that is correct. We actually -- the first time around we were over on impervious. We brought it back down 230 square feet. We took out 230 square feet of concrete.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Let me read this letter:

Dear Design Review Committee. Today at town hall, I
reviewed the latest request of the 1711 Atlantic

Avenue property owners form pervious allowance
increases. I have previously submitted surveys of
the affected property to the committee. The water
runoff is considerable in our section of 1701 to 1715
Atlantic Avenue.

Based on these surveys, impervious driveway construction will adversely affect the rain and storm water runoff drainage into my yard. I request that the committee approve a previous driveway to prevent water runoff to my property on

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1 1701 Atlantic Avenue. Respectfully, David Peterseim.
2 Billy, you want to start this one.

MR. CRAVER: Yeah, I think that the only thing, correct me if I'm wrong on this, Joe -- I'm often wrong. The only thing we can talk about when it comes to storm water runoff is the amount of impervious coverage we have.

THE CHAIRPERSON: That may not be exactly in our purview.

MR. CRAVER: Yeah, so if we think it's an issue, our remedy is to not give relief to adding to what is perceived to be a problem beyond what's in the zoning ordinance.

MR. HENDERSON: That's exactly right.

They are limited to 3,790 square feet. They will have to modify the amount of concrete that they're proposing here, make that a pervious material of some kind. They cannot exceed that threshold of 3,790.

And they've confirmed that in their plans.

MR. BIRD: Yes.

MR. CRAVER: On the theory of I reserve the right to be smarter today than I was yesterday and maybe tomorrow than I am today, I'm trying -- I know the reason for a lot of the stuff we put in the ordinance was to not end up with boxes. I want to

2.2

hear what everybody else has to say. I'm not inclined to grant relief from the second floor.

I'm checking. We're being asked for second floor setback relief. Am I reading that right?

MR. BIRD: Yes, that's correct. 110 square feet.

MR. CRAVER: I'm probably -- maybe it's because I have no artistic ability at all. I'm looking at the design, and I see what appears to be a pretty big massing to me. Having dealt with some houses in the last year that this issue has been present -- I'm more inclined to let people do whatever they want to do with their property. If there's a massing issue, then I sort of decided that, to me, you got to meet the letter of the zoning ordinance. And I see a massing issue here. I'm not inclined to grant -- I'm not going to vote to give relief on that.

If there's a water runoff problem, the only thing we can do with that is hold you to the impervious coverage limits. At least that's the way I read it. I'm not inclined to grant that kind of relief if we're hearing that's already an issue in that area.

| | Town of Sullivans Island v In Re: Design Review Board Sullivan's Island Design Review Board September 16, 201 |
|----|--|
| 1 | And so then, the principal building square |
| 2 | footage, if the impervious coverage to grant that |
| 3 | kind of relief on the principal building square |
| 4 | footage, what are the requirements in the ordinance |
| 5 | that they're supposed to meet in order to be |
| 6 | qualified for that kind of relief? |
| 7 | MR. HENDERSON: You would have to meet the |
| 8 | standards for neighborhood compatibility. |
| 9 | MR. CRAVER: I knew that one. |
| 10 | MR. HENDERSON: The board can grant up to |
| 11 | 25 percent increase on the principal building square |
| 12 | footage. Tonight they've requested 15 percent. 15 |
| 13 | percent increase. |
| 14 | MR. CRAVER: Rhonda, that's my concern. I |
| 15 | looked at this and thought, man, we've seen so many |
| 16 | designs that have come through here that have done so |
| 17 | many creative things to avoid the box. It just hits |
| 18 | me that this is little bit too much of a box. |
| 19 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Great. |
| 20 | MR. CRAVER: I'm probably not inclined |
| 21 | with the box, and I don't mean to be knocking your |
| 22 | design. I'm just one of those guys that sort |
| 23 | of looks at it and goes: Looks like a box. |
| 24 | I'm probably not going to vote to grant |

any of the requested relief given my impression of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

it. Maybe I wasn't the right person to go first.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Rhonda.

MS. SANDERS: Ditto. The whole purpose -I remember 13 years ago when the Design Review Board,
when all these new ordinances were set. It was based
on a house -- it was a box. And we didn't want any
more of those. And that's why all of these
setbacks -- all these requirements are in there.

And I think we get relief when it meets compatibility and when it's not a box. And when it's not a big long wall for neighbors to drive past. You said it better. Thank you.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Donna.

MS. WEBB: And I agree with Billy what you said about just creative designs. This is a challenging lot. And we have a few of those on the island that we've seen some designs that do work and that do fit on the lot but still are compatible with the neighborhood.

I'm not sure that the columns add to the character of the house to make it more in character with the island. That's my feedback.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Beverly.

MS. BOHAN: I agree. Given some of the restraints that I was given when I built my home, I

2.2

feel like it's -- and for the town hall that we presented before the board as well, I think there could be some creative measures done within that skinny lot that would present itself more appealing from the front as well as the side and still give all of the ramifications for your relief.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Great. Thank you. Steve.

MR. HERLONG: This is the first time I've had an opportunity to see this as I was not here last month. Aesthetically, when I look at this, I think you need to pay attention to the additional side second floor setback portion of the ordinance. I think you need to look at the section, principal building side facade, that 30-foot length requiring an offset or notch. I don't think what you've done is hitting the mark, quite honestly.

And then the front porch, I think there's going to be a requirement that your front porch be deeper. I think at five feet or it's just not presenting a friendly or attractive front facade to the street. And as Billy said, for those reasons I would not be inclined to grant any increases for sure for this submittal.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Great. Thank you. I'm

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

afraid I'm agreeing with the rest of the board. It needs more work on it architecturally. The porch definitely needs to address. I'm probably not in favor of this design. Duke.

MR. WRIGHT: I think we discussed this same issue last month, and I don't see much change, really, other than an attempt to articulate the side facade. So I think you need to do a little bit more work on it.

MR. CRAVER: I would make a motion to defer this to allow them to go back and rethink what they've done. If they can come back and not -- if they can design it and not have to ask for anything, they don't have to ask for anything; they don't have to come back; isn't that right?

MR. HENDERSON: That's right.

MR. CRAVER: If they want something, if you want some relief, you got to do something different. My motion is to defer.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Do I hear a second?

MR. HERLONG: I'll second that.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Do you want to discuss that? Deferring that, does that help the owners out a little bit?

MR. HENDERSON: It does. It gives them

| 1 | the option to modify their current request. It's not |
|----|--|
| 2 | a denial. It's subject to appeal. |
| 3 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Everybody in favor of |
| | |
| 4 | the motion? |
| 5 | (All members stated aye.) |
| 6 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, sir. |
| 7 | 3306 JASPER BOULEVARD |
| 8 | THE CHAIRPERSON: 3306 Jasper, addition. |
| 9 | MR. HENDERSON: This is agenda item D2, |
| 10 | COA request for a nonhistoric property. 3306 Jasper |
| 11 | Boulevard was constructed recently. Beau Clowney, |
| 12 | the applicant, requesting conceptual approval of the |
| 13 | one story addition. This is to the Jasper Boulevard |
| 14 | side of the home. |
| 15 | They're requesting relief from the zoning |
| 16 | standard for principal building square footage and |
| 17 | side setback. |
| 18 | This is a very narrow lot. And so they |
| 19 | are requesting side setback relief of 24 percent or |
| 20 | seven feet, six inches, and also a small request in |
| 21 | principal building square footage of 6.3 percent or |
| 22 | 287 square feet. |
| 23 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Yes, sir. |
| 24 | MR. CLOWNEY: I'm Beau Clowney. We have |
| 25 | designed we designed this house a few years back. |

It's a couple years old. Unique location. Everybody knows that part of the island has great advantages that you get to see a sea-to-sea view, view of the marsh and view to the ocean.

And so this family wanted to do a small little addition. Their family has grown. All the kids have got married and have grandkids all of a sudden. If you see right here where this part of the house where you can see straight through, that's kind of what we're trying to preserve. Because the lot is so long, we decided to just place this little guest house up here on the front. We're still 70 or 80 feet from the setback on the street side, and we've done our best to try to weave it into the existing design.

They love the house, and we love it to.

We wanted to do something that was really going to
complement the existing structure but look as though
it's always been there. It's very straightforward,
very simple, modest addition.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Is there any public comment to this application? Public comment section is closed. Joe, anything to add?

MR. HENDERSON: No, sir.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Steve, you want to

 \mathbb{R}

| | in Ne. Design Neview Board September 10, 2015 |
|----|--|
| 1 | start. |
| 2 | MR. HERLONG: I think it's a very |
| 3 | appropriate solution. One story. It's not going to |
| 4 | have any impact as you drive by. It really doesn't |
| 5 | have any impact on a neighbor. I think it's fine. |
| 6 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Beverly. |
| 7 | MS. BOHAN: From first appearance, I think |
| 8 | it's fine. It's appealing as well. I think it |
| 9 | connects; becomes one as it's connected, as he has |
| 10 | drawn it from what I glanced at. |
| 11 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Donna. |
| 12 | MS. WEBB: I think it will look nice and |
| 13 | looks like it's been there forever once it's done. |
| 14 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Rhonda. |
| 15 | MS. SANDERS: I'm good with it. |
| 16 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Billy. |
| 17 | MR. CRAVER: I'm good with it. |
| 18 | THE CHAIRPERSON: I'm also good with it. |
| 19 | Duke. |
| 20 | MR. WRIGHT: I would not object. I think |
| 21 | it's a good design. |
| 22 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Let's hear a motion. |
| 23 | MR. CRAVER: I move we give final approval |
| 24 | as submitted. |
| | |

Second.

MS. SANDERS:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

this side more kind of a private garden with a pool, court over on that side.

But it is very straightforward in that it is a -- the front house is really kind of designed proportionately to look and feel like an old Sullivan's Island house with kind of a link to a couple other little structures in the back. It really is kind of creating L-shape form and typical to a lot of what we like about Sullivan's; collection of roof forms blended together. Ross, do you have anything specific?

If you have any questions, we can answer it.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Great. Thank you. Is there any public comment to this application? Public comment section is closed.

Joe, anything more?

MR. HENDERSON: No, sir.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Great. Donna, you want to start.

MS. WEBB: Sure. I rode by this lot today. And that corner -- this is such a beautiful plan, not only from the front but all the way down that side. I think it's going to be lovely.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Great. Rhonda.

done.

24

25

THE CHAIRPERSON: Steve.

| 1 | MR. HERLONG: I think it's a nice | |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | addition. Broken up. Very attractive. | |
| 3 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah, like the other | |
| 4 | houses, good architecture is worth it. Nice plan. | |
| 5 | MR. WRIGHT: This is a good example of | |
| 6 | good architecture. I think Beau generally hits it | |
| 7 | pretty much on the target every time he comes. I'm | |
| 8 | good with it. | |
| 9 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Do I hear a motion? | |
| 10 | MR. CRAVER: I move we give final | |
| 11 | approval. | |
| 12 | MS. SANDERS: Second. | |
| 13 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Discussion? Everybody | |
| 14 | in favor? | |
| 15 | (All board members stated aye.) | |
| 16 | MR. CRAVER: When are they going to start | |
| 17 | building? | |
| 18 | MR. CLOWNEY: They're ready. Coming in | |
| 19 | from Buffalo. Good friends of Madeline McGee. | |
| 20 | 2724 ATLANTIC AVENUE | |
| 21 | THE CHAIRPERSON: 2724 Atlantic. | |
| 22 | MR. HENDERSON: This is listed on our | |
| 23 | agenda as D4. It's actually a certificate of | |
| 24 | appropriateness for a historic property. It was | |
| 25 | previously addressed as 2732 I'on Avenue, which is | |

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the source of my confusion on this one. It is a historic property.

Ms. Sabrina Cochran is the applicant on this one. Requesting conceptual approval for two additions and elevation modification. This is traditional island resource by way of survey card number 12.

With this proposal, the additions are actually encroaching into a side setback that already has an encroachment so they're not increasing the degree of the nonconformity. So staff is okay with the two additions.

They're also requesting modification of replacement of various wooden windows, historic wooden windows. Apparently there's some maintenance issues that can't address the state of the windows. They're replacing many of the six-over-six windows with two-over-two windows.

They're replacing portions of doors on the rear elevations. They're incorporating transom lights over the doors.

They're also replacing the foundation enclosure from cross-hatch lattice to vertical lattice. I'll let you elaborate a little bit more on the other portion of the project; correct.

2.2

THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, ma'am.

MS. COCHRAN: I'm Sabrina Cochran with SLC Architects, here representing Clay Grayson and his wife, Monoli. They recently bought this property. They live in Charleston now. They have two small boys. They're looking to make this their permanent home.

They love it. They love the historic nature. They love it has wood paneling. It really hasn't been touched much inside. They want to keep the historic character, they just need to make it a little more comfortable for their family.

Like Joe said, we are here because it's traditional island resource. We're not asking for any relief, increasing the encroachment to the setback or anything like that.

As you see, this is the two areas of the addition. There's a tiny little kitchen bump out.

We're trying to increase that to make it a reasonable kitchen.

Bumping out here to make a living room, which you see on the rear elevation. Just add this little piece which actually I think helps that elevation a little bit.

We also are replacing windows and doors.

2.2

The windows are wood. They pocket into the wall this way. A lot of them don't open. The ones that do, don't close all the way. There's a lot of moisture damage. So they need to replace them. We're looking to replace them with Anderson A series or something similar to that; nice wood clad window that will look better.

We are going to -- they have some two-over-two in the front. We think that's probably what was there originally. There's now six-over-six. There's a whole bunch. We're trying to get them all two-over-two. Look nice.

I'm going to give you these. There's a couple window side changes that are really minor. There's a couple windows side that we were going to make larger and now we're going to replace with the same size, so not a big deal. We changed them since this is the middle one; wanted to be clear on that.

The other thing, rear doors, we did submit transoms. We're not going to do that. We're just going to have a taller door.

All of the windows on the first floor are six-foot-eight, nine feet, eight-foot-six, seven-foot-two. They're all messed up. We're trying to put them all at eight feet. The house has ten

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

foot ceiling. Just trying to make them nice. Get their view outside.

One last thing, since we submitted, they also decided they would like to remove -- this is all clad and vinyl siding. They would like to remove all the vinyl siding. They're thinking there might be some really nice old-growth Cypress underneath it. If it's in good condition, they would like to restore it and hopefully use it. If it's not, once they take the bottom siding off, then they'd like to replace it with Hardiplank or something similar. I believe that's it.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thanks.

MS. COCHRAN: Any questions?

THE CHAIRPERSON: Jason anything to say about this? You're the only public here. I thought you might have a comment. Public comment section is closed.

Joe, anything to add?

MR. HENDERSON: No.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Duke.

MR. WRIGHT: I'm fine with it. It's historic. Obviously the changes don't affect, in my judgement, the character of the house at all. And I think improved window arrangement is good. I'm fine

1 | with it.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

THE CHAIRPERSON: I went through this house. It's a great house. Great lot. Great old house and great lot. Good to see it put back and made liveable year-round. It's going to be a great house to live in. I'm for this project. Steve.

MR. HERLONG: I'm just surprised those windows that are so unusual, sliding into the wall windows, it's amazing the house is still standing with all the water intrusion.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Quality of the wood in the house.

MR. HERLONG: Exactly, it is. Exactly.

The two additions are one story and they don't -- they go out to that encroachment but don't affect it in my view. It wouldn't affect the adjacent property. I would approve it.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Beverly.

MS. BOHAN: I agree. I wish we could not have the windows to be clad from a historic standpoint. I certainly understand the reasoning for those. I think it's a nice addition. Well done.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Donna.

MS. WEBB: I have never noticed this house. After riding by, I agree with Pat; great lot.

- 1 It's larger than most of the old historic cottages.
- 2 | I think the window arrangement and the new door
- 3 | selection, I think it's actually going to add, make
- 4 | it feel a little more original. And hopefully the
- 5 | siding will work out, and you may find that good
- 6 | hardwood is still good.
- 7 MS. COCHRAN: That's what they're hoping
- 8 for.
- 9 MS. WEBB: It's beautiful. I think it
- 10 | would be great.
- 11 THE CHAIRPERSON: Rhonda.
- 12 MS. SANDERS: I agree. I think making the
- 13 | windows more consistent with the doors is a great
- 14 idea.
- 15 THE CHAIRPERSON: Billy.
- 16 MR. CRAVER: This house, this was Price's
- 17 house. And that was back in the old days when the
- 18 | accreted lots in front. People with the lot that was
- 19 there originally got the accreted lots. Prices got
- 20 | that lot, the one in front of it, and the one in
- 21 | front of it. Ms. Swackie had this one. She was a
- 22 Price.
- We built the house next door to it. And
- 24 | I've been all through this house. Everything has
- 25 | been done to it, improved it. What you talk about

is vinyl.

24

25

mean, the siding. The windows are wood. The siding

MR. HENDERSON: The siding, okay.

MR. CRAVER: I guess that was my feeling, Duke, given it's got vinyl on it now, Hardiplank is a step up.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Only thing to mention, you've already got that deck on it. It's okay. I've been up on the deck. You still can't see that much even though you got so much vegetation in front of you and the house would really look great if that deck wasn't there. It was put on after Hugo.

Because it's such a classic house, such a classic hip roof, Sullivan's Island home.

It's there. It's not like we can say take it off. It's something to consider in the renovation of that, with the roofing and just everything else.

I don't remember seeing -- having that great a view from being up there. That's just an observation.

It's there. If you want to keep it, keep it.

MR. CRAVER: I move that we approve as submitted, but I guess with the changes that Sabrina that outlined. I'm okay with the Hardiplank, if the wood underneath it isn't good. That is part of my motion, Hardi-plank would be okay; step up from the vinyl. See what the rest of the board thinks.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Do I hear a second on

| 1 | that? |
|----|--|
| 2 | MS. SANDERS: Second. |
| 3 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Do we have any |
| 4 | discussion? |
| 5 | MR. WRIGHT: I still think we should stay |
| 6 | with wood siding. |
| 7 | MR. HERLONG: I would agree. |
| 8 | THE CHAIRPERSON: The wood siding would be |
| 9 | good. They really make high quality prefinished wood |
| 10 | siding that lasts a long time. It's not a |
| 11 | maintenance problem. For this classic home, it |
| 12 | really would be great to see if you have to replace |
| 13 | the siding. |
| 14 | MR. WRIGHT: How does the motion read now? |
| 15 | MR. CRAVER: The motion would allow them |
| 16 | to do Hardiplank. We have to vote on that motion. |
| 17 | If it passes, it passes. If it doesn't, go to the |
| 18 | next step. |
| 19 | MR. HERLONG: I would just state I would |
| 20 | want it on the record that we encouraged the |
| 21 | homeowner to try to find a solution using wood |
| 22 | siding; just an encouragement. |
| 23 | MR. CRAVER: Okay. |
| 24 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Great. That was added |
| 25 | to the motion. |

| 1 | MR. CRAVER: Well, it's not part of the |
|----|--|
| 2 | motion. He just encourage. |
| 3 | THE CHAIRPERSON: It's on the record. |
| 4 | We've got a second. Want to vote? Everybody in |
| 5 | favor of the motion? |
| 6 | (All board member stated aye.) |
| 7 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, sir. |
| 8 | We're going to stay in session for our |
| 9 | discussion. |
| 10 | MS. COCHRAN: Do we have to come back or |
| 11 | is that final? |
| 12 | MR. CRAVER: I meant final. |
| 13 | THE CHAIRPERSON: It can be final. Fine |
| 14 | with me. |
| 15 | MR. HENDERSON: We can make the |
| 16 | encouragement of the use of the original wood siding |
| 17 | part of the COA for permitting. |
| 18 | MS. COCHRAN: Okay. Thank you. |
| 19 | HISTORIC DESIGN GUIDELINES |
| 20 | MR. HENDERSON: I just very briefly want |
| 21 | to talk about historic design guidelines. We had a |
| 22 | very quick conversation at the end of our meeting |
| 23 | about some of the concerns that town council has |
| 24 | mentioned to town staff. |
| 25 | MR. HERLONG: I didn't hear those. |

2.2

You weren't at the last meeting?

MR. CRAVER: I wasn't. I know you raised it one time before. Nobody on the board had any concern. I thought it was dead.

MR. HENDERSON: Really, that's why I put this as a formal item on the agenda so that we could all, as a group, discuss what to do about this.

MR. CRAVER: What is it they're complaining about? Town council has an issue, I quess it would be nice to know what their issue is.

MR. HENDERSON: The main issue revolves around the elevating of historic structures. That's how this came to staff. Over the past couple years, we've approved raising houses, elevating houses to mitigate flood insurance rates.

Property owner requests, wants to invest in historic home. This is a way that they can modify historic structure and utilize a historic structure, possibly put an addition on to it and not have to pay exorbitant flood insurance premiums.

Since the inception of the DRB, we've had multiple projects, probably four or five historic homes that have been elevated. So what I wanted to do is --

MR. CRAVER: Town council said they were



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

going to make a change or they asked us whether we think we ought to make a change? Because they can do whatever they want to do. Are they asking for our input?

MR. HENDERSON: They believe and this is not just town council, this is multiple citizens have come forth explaining that they think the historic homes, when elevated to meet the base flood elevation, feel that it's too high. It takes away from the historic character of the small structure. That's really the basis of this discussion.

Ultimately the question was posed to staff, or we were told to present this to the DRB and have you-all, as a group, study the item and decide whether we should possibly develop some historic design guidelines to address the issue.

So when an applicant or property owner comes forth, we should have, I quess, a formula for design for what's appropriate and what is not appropriate for elevating a house.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Randy or Joe, where has this happened before? What houses? Obviously it's happened several places, if people are worried about Particular house that they have raised? it.

MR. HENDERSON: What I was going to do is

| 1 | initially jump into our process of review. How does |
|---|--|
| 2 | the DRB review projects, historic and nonhistoric? I |
| 3 | can back up to that. |

This is the one we recently approved about a year-and-a-half ago, 1102 Osceola. This is what it looked like for years and years. It was elevated about two feet off the ground, two-and-a-half feet off the ground.

In order to meet the base flood elevation, it went to ten feet.

MR. ROBINSON: Somewhere in there.

MR. HENDERSON: Nine-and-a-half to ten feet. So it's eight-foot difference. That raising of the house to eight feet, that creates this very dramatic effect.

And the house is not complete yet. But we've had an outpouring of concern from the surrounding neighbors, and they called their council members. And so this is a response to that. The question was posed to staff, possibly developing historic design guidelines to regulate how high.

MR. CRAVER: How many others? One of the things that I get really concerned about is -- obviously, town council can do whatever it wants to do. I get concerned about an isolated incident

that 1,200 square foot rule to build a second

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 structure behind it or move that on the lot either.
2 They had an unusual problem.

MR. ROBINSON: They couldn't. But they could've added on to this house as much as they wanted to at that same elevation. They would've been required to go get a historical variance.

Problem with a historical variance, we can give the historical variance or the Board of Zoning Appeals can give the historical variance but then it comes -- the problem comes in, the astronomical flood insurance.

Unless somebody has cash that they're going to do this project with, they can't go get a mortgage unless they have flood insurance. Flood insurance is so expensive, you know.

MR. CRAVER: It kills the value of the real estate.

MR. ROBINSON: Somewhat.

MR. CRAVER: It really does.

MR. ROBINSON: It's a money thing is what

it is.

MS. SANDERS: The issue with this one, it looks so incredibly tall for whatever reason.

MR. HENDERSON: Really, when the DRB reviewed this, we incorporated certain project

2.2

elements to mitigate the effect of that. Those elements have not been put on.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Those elements have not been installed.

MR. HENDERSON: The foundation plantings. We also allowed the house to be slid back slightly on the property, to not be as close to the street frontage.

There may be I think some -- the raising of the grade slightly here when you incorporate the heartscape. There are lots of things that still have to be done with this property.

I think the question, is there really, number one, do we want to address this either by historic design guidelines, which is what was recommended by town council; working on a set of design guidelines to recommend or give guidance to staff, the DRB, and also property owners, or do we want to take that a step further and codify the existing zoning ordinance?

THE CHAIRPERSON: I think the recommendation would be fine. That would give us leeway in certain instances to grant it or not as opposed to codifying it, which wouldn't give us any leeway at all.

| | In Re: Design Review Board September 16, 201 |
|----|---|
| 1 | MR. HENDERSON: Let me back up and just |
| 2 | give you a quick run down. |
| 3 | MR. CRAVER: This is where that pack of |
| 4 | guidelines came from. |
| 5 | MR. HENDERSON: This is document that was |
| 6 | presented to the town in 2005 when we created the |
| 7 | historic district. I believe it was around then, |
| 8 | 2005. |
| 9 | MR. ROBINSON: Uh-huh. |
| 10 | MR. HENDERSON: So it was simply a sample |
| 11 | or a draft that David Snyder presented to the town, |
| 12 | and the town decided not to move forward with it. |
| 13 | MR. CRAVER: We didn't like it. The |
| 14 | planning commission didn't like it. |
| 15 | MR. HENDERSON: This is basically |
| 16 | boilerplate language. The format is there. We could |
| 17 | go through the process of making this a Sullivan's |
| 18 | Island document. We could use photographs and |
| 19 | examples, and it would be a guideline for property |
| 20 | owners. |
| 21 | MR. CRAVER: I feel like we got enough |
| 22 | rules. I'm wondering what is the purpose what are |
| 23 | they trying to accomplish with the guidelines? |
| 24 | MR. HENDERSON: Well, it's to go above and |

beyond, to take that extra step in providing guidance

| | In Re: Design Review Board September 16, 201 |
|----|--|
| 1 | 45 to historic property owners. To providing guidance |
| 2 | to |
| 3 | MR. CRAVER: The purpose is they don't |
| 4 | like the building elevated as much as it is, and they |
| 5 | don't want to see historic structures elevated. |
| 6 | MR. HENDERSON: That's it. That's the |
| 7 | main |
| 8 | MS. SANDERS: I don't think you can tell |
| 9 | people they can't do that for insurance purposes. |
| 10 | MR. CRAVER: Here's the question that I |
| 11 | would ask. How many historic structures do we have |
| 12 | left on the island that would be affected if we said, |
| 13 | if you want to do some work. So let's find out what |
| 14 | the universe is. What's the inventory of historic |
| 15 | structures that, if we said, you cannot elevate it |
| 16 | because that's what all this goobly gook comes down |
| 17 | to is we want you to tell people they can't elevate |
| 18 | it. |
| 19 | If you can't elevate it, how many historic |
| 20 | structures are we talking about? Let's figure out |
| 21 | what the real problem is as opposed to jumping in and |
| 22 | creating rules where we don't know what the |
| 23 | universe |
| 24 | MR. HENDERSON: I think that's what town |
| 25 | council is asking you to do, is to study the item. |

2.2

MR. CRAVER: That would be a great start to know what the inventory is.

MR. HENDERSON: We have GIS data that shows all of the prefirm historic structures on the island. We can pull that up. We can take a look at it. We can draft a map. We can probably generate some figures for you.

MR. CRAVER: That would be great. I would like to go look. We could ride around and look at stuff.

THE CHAIRPERSON: All that being said, we've done a pretty good job, this board, I think generally with what's come through to try to hold the integrity of Sullivan's Island.

MR. CRAVER: I think so, too, Pat.

THE CHAIRPERSON: It's not like we've been screwing up left and right and making bad decisions.

I just don't see that. I sort of agree with you.

Why do we need more rules to just throw around and confuse everybody even more because the damn zoning code is ridiculous, how confusing that thing is.

We're worried about a few things. We've addressed individually when people come before us these historical homes. Like the one that we just talked about, and it was well done, well presented.

We were for it.

2.2

I think we've also, you know -- I don't know if we've been hard on people. I think the architects or the designers come before us know they had to work extra hard on these historical structures to present to us. And they've just I think generally been well received. I don't know. It's not like -- that one thing maybe. Like you said, it's not even finished yet.

The only thing I think, if the town is concerned about that, then I think they ought to talk to the other board and say, if this comes up, you need to be in position of giving these people relief on square footage or placement of the house or another house. Even though this is a 1,500 square foot historical house and not 1,200 and say well we're going to -- because we want you to keep that low, you can do this, build this house here or whatever.

MR. HENDERSON: That was another option proposed to the LUNR committee. Steve and Duke, you-all attended that meeting. And this led to a discussion about the historic special exception, which is what Pat is referring to, the 1,200 square foot threshold.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Town of Sullivans Island v September 16, 2015 In Re: Design Review Board 48 I think that would work its way into our study of this item. But if I could just point out this is only one option here. The other option is --MR. CRAVER: Well, that is an example of an option. We could come up with our own. MR. HENDERSON: Absolutely. Yeah, this isn't it (indicating). MR. CRAVER: Right. I thought that was a piece of crap. MR. HENDERSON: It is. MR. CRAVER: It really is. MR. HENDERSON: To his defense, he just basically showed the town: Look, here are

quidelines, it would look something like this.

Yeah, it's completely wrong.

MR. CRAVER: It's illegal.

MR. HENDERSON: It actually says Alabama.

I think it references Alabama.

MR. HERLONG: The difficulty here is that nobody -- who would write this? Are they going to hire another consultant? That just leads -- that is too difficult of a task to ask a town employee to do.

MR. CRAVER: I got to tell you, I spent two years when we were doing those hearings, redrafting that thing based on the hearings.

49 then once we finished that, Pat took it and redrafted 1 2 it the way he wanted it. 3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Pat O'Neal. MR. CRAVER: Wasn't this Pat; the current 4 Tell Pat: What does he want? 5 6 I'm happy to be involved in, let's find 7 out what the inventory is, and let's look at the options. And, I mean, there's some things that are 8 9 wrong with the way the special -- I mean, the special 10 exception, I wouldn't limit it to 1,200 feet. 11 MS. SANDERS: I agree. 12 MR. CRAVER: I would say total square 13 footage. People going under the special exception 14 are penalized because they can't get any additional 15 square footage. I would take that penalty out of it. 16 I'm happy to get involved in tackling, playing with 17 that. 18 Should get a variance for MS. SANDERS: 19 that. All historic homes are not 1,200 or cottages 20 or whatever. What if they're 1,340 or whatever? 21 MR. CRAVER: Right. So if we have a total 22 number, as long as we can operate within that total 23 number and say to somebody, well you got a 1,400

24

25

You can build another 3,000 square foot house on your

square foot house, here's what you can do with it.

1 | property or whatever, I'm good with that.

MR. HERLONG: We had that meeting, the

3 | LUNR committee.

4 MR. HENDERSON: Land Use Natural

5 Resources.

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. HERLONG: It was a meeting that also allowed some new town council members to get up to speed. They were doing a lot of explaining about the flood ordinance and these difficulties. At that meeting I attended and so did Duke. I mentioned the same thing. I said, basically, that anyone with a historic home in the ordinance is almost treated like a second-class property.

MR. CRAVER: They are.

MR. HERLONG: In order that they're going to try to change the ability to get out of a flood zone, they almost need to give increases or advantages if you're going to leave it low. You need to have some very strong advantages.

I don't know if there are enough advantages that could be given to someone to stay down in the flood zone right now. Good thing to talk about.

MR. CRAVER: It's why I think, before we like launch this big project, we need to know what

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1 | care about the rest of the people on the island.

MR. HERLONG: I would say that before the town goes into this, we should almost wait for that flood -- new flood map and then see what we're dealing with, what the town has to deal with and how that impacts historic properties or any other properties. Then we may want -- then we can make the right adjustments.

MR. HENDERSON: Would you be opposed to meeting with staff and studying and developing a recommendation, formal recommendation to council?

Maybe three members of the DRB could meet with staff.

We could develop some recommendations, present that back to the DRB and then maybe formulate a recommendation to the group.

MR. CRAVER: I think we would make a mistake not to respond to town council. Because I think then they're going to do whatever they want to do without our input. We're in a better position to give input than anybody else is.

THE CHAIRPERSON: We can do that. We can meet with you. We're good?

MR. HENDERSON: I think so.

MR. WRIGHT: Who's volunteering?

THE CHAIRPERSON: I'll go.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. CRAVER: I'll go.

2 MR. WRIGHT: Seriously, whole board? Two 3 of us or three of us or four?

MR. HENDERSON: I've previously spoken with Steve, Duke and Beverly about it. But we want to avoid having a quorum.

MS. SANDERS: You were so involved in all that drafting, I think you need to go.

MR. ROBINSON: You can have a quorum, as long as you advertise.

MR. HENDERSON: We have to advertise, you know, so it would be kind of an ad hoc committee.

Typically if you can convene a quorum of the DRB, then it's a DRB meeting.

What we would want to do -- we can consider this during a regular DRB meeting if you want to do that so that we're all part of it. I just think it's easier for staff to review some of the more complex GIS type information, have a back and forth if it's smaller group.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Small group is easier.

MR. CRAVER: I really think -- I hate to put this on y'all to do the work. I really think we need to have an idea. It would have a big impact on what our recommendations were of the scope of the

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

| | In Re: Design Review Board September 16, 201 |
|---|---|
| 1 | problem. And the scope of the problem is, you know, |
| 2 | how many houses. |
| 3 | Steve is right. If the inventory of |
| 4 | houses is 42 now, how many is it going to be when |

houses is 42 now, how many is it going to be when that new flood map comes out? Is it going to be 28 or 73? Are they going to add to the V zone or taking away? I don't think we'll know until they give it to us, will we?

MS. SANDERS: When is that?

MR. ROBINSON: When is the question. They keep saying -- I mean, they told us we would have them in the fall.

MR. HENDERSON: Now it's summer of next year.

MR. CRAVER: Of which year?

MR. ROBINSON: Right. Then I talked to them in the fall when we had our community assistance visit last month, and they said we are going to have them to you by the spring. She was: We're going to have them to you by the spring.

MS. SANDERS: This is FEMA, right?

MR. ROBINSON: Well, it's out Department of Natural Resources Flood Mitigation Division. They are pushing this new mapping initiative for South Carolina. Everybody has been done except Charleston

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

County. We're the last ones. So, I mean, all eyes are focused on us right now.

MS. SANDERS: Might make more sense to wait and build.

MR. ROBINSON: I would think so.

MR. HENDERSON: I think that could be one of our recommendations, we have this pending release of new data from FEMA.

On top of that, I think we should address the question of historic guidelines, possible changes to the design standards in the ordinance, the design guidelines in the ordinance and just consider all of those, weigh all of the options.

MR. CRAVER: I guess I'm a little missing of why we're considering sort of what sounds like wholesale guidelines and changes to deal with what sounds like a rifle shot issue to me. Is there something other than what we --

MR. WRIGHT: May I make a suggestion?

Excuse me for interrupting. So we don't get into the issue of a quorum problem, I will back out. And I recommend that you, Beverly and Steve get together and help Joe prepare some kind of response to town council.

MR. CRAVER: I think we got to respond.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. WRIGHT: We don't want to get into this problem of having a quorum, then we got to go through the drill of announcing a public meeting, et cetera.

MR. HENDERSON: Would our ad hoc group make a recommendation to the DRB as a whole and then you would vote and make a full recommendation?

THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.

MS. SANDERS: Is this issue brought up solely on that one house most recently?

MR. HENDERSON: Well, there were other homes. Here's one that was elevated and relocated. I think this is a successful one. I don't think we received any complaints about that.

Here's 1026 Middle Street, this one was elevated. Historic picture. It was elevated slightly. Landscaper never did the foundation plantings, which created this sort of visual problem along that streetscape.

And then, of course, this one.

There haven't been many houses that have been elevated.

MS. SANDERS: The house behind that on Seabreeze Lane. The house behind that one on Seabreeze Lane, isn't that historic? And I think it

from three feet to ten feet, that's a pretty dramatic

| 1 | 58 |
|----|---|
| 1 | difference. If you're going to raise it ten feet to |
| 2 | 11 feet, it's not a material difference in the visual |
| 3 | impact of the house. Why wouldn't you do it? Then |
| 4 | you go |
| 5 | MR. HERLONG: Where do you draw lines? |
| 6 | MR. CRAVER: Right, what point do you deal |
| 7 | with your neighbor? |
| 8 | MR. WRIGHT: That's what you have to do. |
| 9 | 1711 ATLANTIC AVENUE |
| 10 | THE CHAIRPERSON: A short comment. Yes, |
| 11 | ma'am. |
| 12 | MRS. AYANDRA: We just want to get some |
| 13 | direction. Last time we took all the additions. We |
| 14 | came back hoping to be approved because we ran the |
| 15 | design by Joe. I don't think we ran it by Randy. |
| 16 | Next time we don't want to come back with |
| 17 | the thing. We want to make sure we meet all the |
| 18 | great detail. Because one relief definitely we're |
| 19 | asking for is square footage. We have four kids. We |
| 20 | have parents with us. We need some we need to ask |
| 21 | some relief on square footage. |
| 22 | But the other, I think the other one was |
| 23 | massing and wedding cake effect. What kind of |
| 24 | because we tried adding some applications. |

THE CHAIRPERSON: I think we enunciated

the direction in the minutes. We gave the architect or the designer guidelines of what the difficulty of the plan was.

MR. CRAVER: I think the simple answer is this. If your design requires coming in to ask for relief from the zoning ordinance, then it needs to have -- it needs to follow the standards that you have to meet in order to get the relief.

When you end up with something that is sort of a box, it's going to be hard to get any relief when it looks like a mass like that.

MRS. AYANDRA: We wanted to just follow -we want to complete the house. That's why we added
lots of windows. According to our belief, it has to
be a perfect rectangle for you to have -- I don't
know what. We follow certain beliefs and certain -I don't know what it's called. It's Vastu. And we
follow that. And so in order to keep it as close to
rectangle as possible. We tried to modify a little
bit.

MR. CRAVER: Can you have one rectangle on top of another rectangle?

MRS. AYANDRA: Yeah, that we can do, I think, but the bump-ins. We are ready to move the house because our neighbors already complained we are

close at all.

- 1 too close to their driveway. We are ready to bump 2 the house five feet inside.
- MR. AYANDRA: We moved the house.
- MR. HERLONG: I really think you might

 want to maybe team up, get some architectural help on

 that and maybe you've got to really study the

 guideline. As Billy says, probably meet more of the

 particular sections in the guidelines in order to get

 that relief. That's the easiest way to get the

 relief. Meet each one of those as closely as

possible. Right now you're not meeting them very

- MR. AYANDRA: We can ask for relief for square footage?
- MR. HERLONG: You can.
- MR. CRAVER: You can if you meet the quideline.
- MR. AYANDRA: If we meet the other two.
- Right now we're asking three; did meet the other two.
- MS. SANDERS: I think y'all are
- 21 | misunderstanding. The second floor setback has to be
- more than a one foot column in order to ask for
- 23 relief.

11

12

- MR. BIRD: They're over that. I explained
- 25 | that to them. We're going to bump out -- I think the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

61

upstairs needs to come in two feet on either side.

MR. AYANDRA: One foot on either side.

MS. SANDERS: I think the overall design needs vast improvement to get relief.

MR. HERLONG: You might want to team up and get somebody else to help look at those guidelines. Get their opinion on who to maybe talk with to study that guideline because those guidelines are very -- I'll give it to you, they're very complicated to understand first time out. Very difficult.

You need to meet more of those in order to get the relief with the current design you're trying to achieve.

MR. CRAVER: Yeah, I think that's a great way to say that. Given the current design, you're going to have to meet.

MR. AYANDRA: Our other problem is we follow Vasta according to our belief. For every room we have to calculate the dimensions. We have done that for this house. I would have to send back to India to get --

MR. HERLONG: That certainly adds. You have two independent sets of guidelines for yourself.

I get that. Difficult.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, sir.

MR. WRIGHT: Let me ask you. We are not trying to be obstructionists. This board wants to help you. And we want you to end up with a successful design. Period.

MR. CRAVER: You've heard us reacting to town council coming with questions. We get a lot of feedback from them when there are houses that are just, that are rectangular and don't meet those specific guidelines. If we're not giving relief, we can say, well, they've met the ordinance. If you want relief, that's when we have to hold your feet to the ordinance.

MRS. AYANDRA: Next time can we run the plans couple days in advance before the next meeting. We appreciate if Joe can run it by you also. If there are any last minute changes, advise. The next will be our third and final review, I think. I'm not sure if it's final one. Can we run the design by you once we make the changes?

MR. CRAVER: We all get the package.

THE CHAIRPERSON: For the next meeting.

MR. WRIGHT: You're the architect.

MR. BIRD: No.

MR. WRIGHT: Who's your architect?

- 1 MR. BIRD: That's our Charlotte team. I'm
 2 with David Weekley. I do the sales portion.
 - MR. HERLONG: That's your problem right there. I think you need, as I said, talk to them about getting some names of some designers or architects that are familiar with that ordinance.
- 7 MR. BIRD: I used Jerry Dewitt, Charleston 8 guy.
- 9 MR. HERLONG: Work with Jerry.
- MR. CRAVER: Has he ever designed anything
- 11 | over here?
- MR. BIRD: He does all my stuff on Daniel
- 13 | Island.

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

3

4

5

6

- MR. CRAVER: This is different enough ordinance, get with them, get some names.
 - MR. HERLONG: That would be very helpful for you guys. You have a very difficult -- you're trying to meet two independent sets of guidelines or needs. That's just difficult.
 - MRS. AYANDRA: We make it pleasing to our neighbors, but able to make it pleasing for ourselves as well, right.
- MR. HERLONG: I get that.
- MR. AYANDRA: And that is the primary one.
- 25 Back of our mind if we don't follow that.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ROBINSON: Can I say one thing? I don't think that Ms. Compton was saying anything

about how close it was to the driveway. It was mass.

MR. HERLONG: I think she was talking about that tall flat wall.

MR. ROBINSON: That tall mass wall sitting there. It wasn't the setback. You're allowed to be 15 feet from that setback. So the setback was fine. It was just that mass of wall sitting there.

MR. AYANDRA: Add that bump out. You think the bump out is going to help?

THE CHAIRPERSON: You need more than that.

MS. SANDERS: You need architectural assistance.

MR. CRAVER: You need architectural assistance on this. Architect that's familiar with this ordinance and meeting the standards or you're not going to get the relief. You're not going to get beyond the dimensions that are allowed in the ordinance.

MR. WRIGHT: The architect is in Charlotte you said?

MR. BIRD: Mobile designers here. Team is in Charlotte.

MR. WRIGHT: Very clear what needs to be

| 1 | done. |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. CRAVER: Good luck. |
| 3 | MRS. AYANDRA: We can't find an architect |
| 4 | who understands our beliefs also. That's what we're |
| 5 | dealing with here. |
| 6 | (The hearing was concluded at 7:21 p.m.) |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, Lora McDaniel, Registered Professional
Reporter and Notary Public for the State of South
Carolina at Large, do hereby certify that the
foregoing transcript is a true, accurate, and
complete record.

I further certify that I am neither related to, nor counsel for, any party to the cause pending or interested in the events thereof.

Witness my hand, I have hereunto affixed my official seal this 28th day of September, 2015 at Charleston, Charleston County, South Carolina.



Lora L. McDaniel,

Registered Professional Reporter My Commission expires: September 18, 2016

| | Three besign review beard | Ocpteriber 10, 2010 | 1 |
|----|-----------------------------|---------------------|---|
| 1 | INDEX | 67 | |
| 2 | | Page | |
| 3 | 2118 PETTIGREW STREET | 3 | |
| 4 | 1711 ATLANTIC AVENUE | 9, 58 | |
| 5 | 3306 JASPER BOULEVARD | 21 | |
| 6 | 2678 BAYONNE STREET | 24 | |
| 7 | 2724 ATLANTIC AVENUE | 27 | |
| 8 | HISTORIC DESIGN GUIDELINES | 37 | |
| 9 | CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER | 66 | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | REQUESTED INFORMATION INDEX | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | (No Information Requested) | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | EXHIBITS | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | (No Exhibits Proffered) | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| | | | 1 |

| \$ | 2 | 6 | 49:14 additions | Alan 8:16 |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| \$30,000 | 200 | 6.3 | 28:5,8,12 32:14 | alarmed |
| 51:20 | 200 51:2 | 21:21 | 58:13 | 7:17 |
| 21.20 | 2005 | 21.21 | address 10:14,15,22 20:3 | allowance 14:16 |
| 1 | 44:6,8 | 7 | 28:16 39:16 43:14 | |
| | 2015 | , | 55:9 | allowed 9:7 43:6 50:7 64: |
| 200 | 7:13 | 70 | addressed | 19 |
| 41:25 47:16,24 | 2114 | 22:12 | 11:10 27:25 46:23 | amazing |
| 49:10,19 | 7:8 | 73 | adds | 32:9 |
| 340 49:20 | 2118 | 54:6 | 61:23 | amount |
| | 7:10,14 | 7:21 | adjacent | 15:6,16 |
| , 400 49:23 | 230 | 65:6 | 10:17 32:17 | Anderson |
| ,500 | 14:11,12 | 8 | adjourned | 30:5 |
| ,500 47:15 | 24 | 8 | 57:19 | announcing |
| 00 | 21:19 | 80 | adjusting | 56:3 |
| 24:20 | 24th | 22:12 | 12:12 | Apparently |
| 026 | 7:22 | | adjustments 9:9 52:8 | 28:15 |
| 56:15 | 25 8:1 17:11 | A | | appeal |
| 1 | | | advance 62:15 | 21:2 |
| 58:2 | 2678 24:3,4 | ability | advantages | appealing 19:4 23:8 |
| 10 | 24.3,4 2724 | 16:9 50:16 | 22:2 50:18,19,21 | |
| 16:6 | 27:20,21 | Absolutely 48:6 | adversely | Appeals 42:9 |
| 102 | 2732 | | 14:22 | 1 |
| 40:5 | 27:25 | acceptable 11:12 | advertise | appearance 23:7 |
| 2 | 28 | accomplish | 53:10,11 | appears |
| 12:15 28:7 | 54:5 | 44:23 | advise | 16:10 |
| 3 18:4 | 287 | accreted | 62:17 | applicant |
| | 21:22 | 33:18,19 | Aesthetically | 10:4 21:12 24:6 |
| 5 7:16 17:12 64:8 | | _ accurate | 19:11 | 28:3 39:17 |
| 7.10 17.12 04.8 5-foot | 3 | 7:2 | affect | application |
| 13:9 | 2.000 | achieve | 14:22 31:23 32:16 | 7:5,10 8:13 11:2 |
| 6 | 3,000 49:25 | 61:14 | affected | 22:22 25:15 |
| 7:15 | 3,790 | actual | 14:18 45:12 | applications |
| 6th | 3,790 15:15,18 | 12:22 | affects | 58:24 |
| 7:11 | 30-foot | ad | 41:1 | appropriateness 27:24 |
| 701 | 10:14 19:15 | 53:12 56:5 | afraid 20:1 | approval |
| 14:19 15:1 | 3306 | add | agenda | 9:20 10:5 21:12 |
| 711 | 21:7,8,10 | 8:17 18:20 22:23 29:22 31:19 33:3 | 7:11 10:2 21:9 | 23:23 24:7 27:11 |
| 9:24,25 10:3 14:15 | | _ 54:6 64:10 | 27:23 38:6 | 28:4 |
| 58:9 | 4 | added | agree | approve |
| 715 | | - 36:24 42:4 59:13 | 18:14,24 32:19,25 | 14:24 32:17 35:1 |
| 12:3 14:19 | 42 54.4 | adding | 33:12 36:7 46:18 | approved |
| 9 7:13 | 54:4 | 15:11 58:24 | 49:11 | 7:12 38:14 40:4 58:14 |
| | | addition | agreed | |
| 9.7 24:17 | 5 | _ 7:14 21:8,13 22:6, | 7:23 | architect 59:1 62:23,25 |
| ω r. 1 / | 55 | 20 27:2 29:18 32:22 34:16 38:19 | agreeing 20:1 | 64:16,21 65:3 |
| | 12:23,24,25 | 41:15 | | architects |
| | | additional | Alabama 48:17,18 | 24:6 29:3 47:4 |
| | | 9:7 10:12 19:12 | 10.17,10 | 63:6 |
| | | | | |

64:10 65:3

architectural

10:13 60:5 64:13,

| 15 |
|--------------------------------------|
| architecturally 20:2 |
| architecture 27:4,6 |
| area 11:9 12:6 16:25 |
| areas 29:17 |
| arrangement 31:25 33:2 |
| articulate 20:7 |
| articulation 10:14,25 11:4 |
| artistic 16:9 |
| Artus 8:16 |
| assistance 54:17 64:14,16 |
| astronomical 42:10 |
| Atlantic |
| 9:24,25 10:3,19 12:4 13:22 14:15, |
| 20 15:1 27:20,21 58:9 |
| attached 8:5,14 |
| attempt 20:7 |
| attended 47:22 50:10 |
| attention 7:7 8:15 19:12 |
| attractive 19:21 27:2 |
| attractiveness 8:10 |
| August 7:13,22 |
| Avenue |
| 9:24 10:19 13:22 14:16,20 15:1 |
| 27:20,25 58:9 |
| avoid 17:17 53:6 |
| AYANDRA |
| 58:12 59:12,23 60:3,13,18 61:2,18 |
| 62:14 63:20,24 |

| 04.10 05.5 |
|--|
| aye 9:23 21:5 24:2 27:15 37:6 |
| В |
| back |
| 11:20 12:19 13:6 14:4,11 20:11,12, 15 21:25 25:7 32:4 33:17 37:10 40:3 41:15,19 43:6 44:1 52:14 53:19 55:21 57:7 58:14,16 61:21 63:25 |
| bad 46:17 |
| base 39:8 40:9 |
| based 14:21 18:5 48:25 |
| basically 44:15 48:13 50:11 |
| basis 39:11 |
| batten 11:8 |
| Bayonne 24:3,4 |
| beach 24:24 |
| Beau 21:11,24 24:6 27:6 |
| beautiful 25:22 26:23 33:9 |
| begin 8:21 |
| belief 59:14 61:19 |
| beliefs 59:16 65:4 |
| benches 26:9 |
| Beverly |
| 18:23 23:6 26:22 32:18 53:5 55:22 57:18 |
| big 16:11 18:11 30:17 50:25 53:24 |
| Billy |
| 9:16 15:2 18:14 19:22 23:16 26:2 33:15 60:7 |
| |

| Bird 10:4,24 11:3 12:14,18,22 13:2, 9,11 14:1,4,9 15:20 16:6 60:24 62:24 63:1,7,12 64:23 bit 12:6 17:18 20:8,24 28:24 29:24 59:20 blended |
|---|
| 25:10 block 26:4 board 9:23 11:8 17:10 18:4 19:2 20:1 24:2 27:15 35:24 |
| 37:6 38:3 42:8 46:12 47:12 53:2 62:3 Bohan 13:1 18:24 23:7 26:23 32:19 boilerplate |
| 44:16 bottom 31:10 bought 29:4 Boulevard 21:7,11,13 |
| box 17:17,18,21,23 18:6,10 59:10 boxes 15:25 boys 29:6 |
| brand 24:23 briefly 37:20 bring 26:20 |
| Broken 27:2 brought 14:11 56:9 Buffalo 27:19 build 41:25 47:18 49:25 55:4 |
| |

| building 10:7 13:23 17:1,3, 11 19:15 21:16,21 24:9,12,16 27:17 45:4 |
|---|
| built 18:25 33:23 |
| bump 13:3 29:18 60:1,25 64:10,11 |
| bump-ins 59:24 |
| Bumping 29:21 |
| bunch 30:11 |
| C |
| cake 58:23 |
| calculate 61:20 |
| called 40:18 59:17 |
| card 28:6 |
| care 51:24 52:1 |
| Carolina 54:25 |
| cash 42:12 |
| ceiling 31:1 |
| certificate 27:23 |
| cetera 56:4 |
| CHAIRPERSON 7:3 8:25 9:3,10,13, 16,18,22,25 10:23 11:23 12:2 13:12, 15 14:13 15:8 17:19 18:2,13,23 19:7,25 20:20,22 21:3,6,8,23 22:21, 25 23:6,11,14,16, 18,22 24:1,4,22 25:14,19,25 26:2, 22,25 27:3,9,13,21 29:1 31:13,15,21 32:2,11,18,23 33:11,15 34:2,19 35:5,25 36:3,8,24 37:3,7,13 39:21 |

| esign Review Board September 16, 2015 |
|--|
| 41:10 43:3,21 46:11,16 49:3 52:21,25 53:21 56:8 57:15,19 58:10,25 62:1,22 64:12 |
| challenging 18:16 |
| change 11:7 20:6 39:1,2 50:16 51:10,11 |
| changed 7:1,2 26:7 30:17 |
| character 8:11 18:21 29:11 31:24 39:10 |
| characteristic 12:8 |
| Charleston 29:5 54:25 63:7 |
| Charlotte 8:16 63:1 64:21,24 |
| checking 16:3 |
| Cindy 12:3 |
| citizens 39:6 |
| clad 30:6 31:5 32:20 34:21 |
| classic 35:11 36:11 |
| Clay 29:3 34:13 |
| clear 30:18 64:25 |
| close 13:7 30:3 43:7 59:18 60:1,12 64:3 |
| closed 22:23 25:16 31:18 |
| closely 60:10 |
| Clowney 21:11,24 24:6,23 26:5,12,18 27:18 |
| COA 10:2 21:10 37:17 |
| Cochran 28:3 29:2 31:14 33:7 34:13,18,23 37:10,18 |

code

| In Re: Design Review Board September 16, 2015 | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|---|--------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| 46:21 | 7:12 21:12 24:7 | cost | 28:23 | defer | | |
| codify | 28:4 | 51:20 | current | 20:11,19 | | |
| 43:19 | concern | cottage | 21:1 41:16 49:4 | Deferring | | |
| | 12:20 17:14 38:4 | 41:12 | 61:13,16 | 20:23 | | |
| codifying 43:24 | 40:17 | cottages | Cypress | degree | | |
| | concerned | 33:1 49:19 | 31:7 | 28:11 | | |
| collection | 7:13 40:23,25 | | 31.7 | | | |
| 25:9 | 47:11 | could've 41:14 42:4 51:8,19 | | denial 21:2 | | |
| column | concerns | · · | D | | | |
| 60:22 | 7:22 8:6 12:4 | council | D1 | Department 54:22 | | |
| columns | 37:23 57:6 | 37:23 38:9,25 39:6 40:18,24 43:16 | 10:2 | 0 | | |
| 11:5 12:12,14 13:4 | concluded | 45:25 50:7 52:11, | D2 | design | | |
| 18:20 | 65:6 | 17 55:24 62:7 | 21:9 | 7:8 8:9 14:14 | | |
| comfortable | concrete | count | | 16:10 17:22 18:4 20:4,13 22:15 | | |
| 29:12 | 14:12 15:16 | 11:21 | D4 27:23 | 23:21 37:19,21 | | |
| comment | | | | 39:16,19 40:21 | | |
| 7:4 11:24 22:22 | condition 31:8 | County 55:1 | damage | 43:15,17 55:11 | | |
| 25:15,16 31:17 | | | 30:4 | 58:15 59:5 61:3, | | |
| 58:10 | conditions 24:14 | couple 12:4 22:1 25:7 | damn | 13,16 62:5,19 | | |
| comments | | 26:9 30:14,15 | 46:20 | designed | | |
| 7:6 9:2 10:21 | confirmed | 38:13 62:15 | Daniel | 21:25 25:4 63:10 | | |
| commission | 15:19 | court | 63:12 | designer | | |
| 44:14 | confuse | 25:2 | data | 59:2 | | |
| committee | 46:20 | | 46:3 55:8 | designers | | |
| 14:14,18,24 47:21 | confusing | coverage 15:7 16:22 17:2 | David | 47:4 63:5 64:23 | | |
| 50:3 53:12 | 46:21 | | 15:1 44:11 63:2 | designs | | |
| community | confusion | crap 48:9 | days | 17:16 18:15,17 | | |
| 54:17 | 28:1 | | 33:17 62:15 | detail | | |
| compatibility | connected | CRAVER 9:17,19 15:3,10,21 | dead | 10:12,13 58:18 | | |
| 17:8 18:10 | 23:9 | 16:8 17:9,14,20 | 38:4 | develop | | |
| compatible | connects | 20:10,17 23:17,23 | deal | 39:15 52:13 | | |
| 18:18 | 23:9 | 26:3,16,20 27:10, | 30:17 52:5 55:16 | developing | | |
| complained | considerable | 16 33:16 35:2,19 | 58:6 | 40:20 52:10 | | |
| 59:25 | 14:19 | 36:15,23 37:1,12 | dealing | Dewitt | | |
| complaining | considered | 38:2,8,25 40:22 | 52:5 65:5 | 63:7 | | |
| 38:9 | 11:18 | 42:16,19 44:3,13, 21 45:3,10 46:1,8, | dealt | difference | | |
| complaints | consistent | 15 48:4,8,11,16,23 | 16:11 | 40:13 58:1,2 | | |
| 56:14 | 33:13 | 49:4,12,21 50:14, | Dear | | | |
| complement | constructed | 24 51:23 52:16 | 14:14 | difficult 48:22 61:11,25 | | |
| 22:18 | 21:11 | 53:1,22 54:15 | decide | 63:17,19 | | |
| complete | construction | 55:14,25 57:22 | 39:14 | difficulties | | |
| 40:16 59:13 | 10:1,6,20 14:22 | 58:6 59:4,21 60:16 61:15 62:6,21 | decided | 50:9 | | |
| completely | consultant | 63:10,14 64:15 | 16:15 22:11 31:4 | difficulty | | |
| 48:15 | 48:21 | 65:2 | 44:12 | 48:19 59:2 | | |
| complex | convene | created | decisions | dimensions | | |
| 53:19 | 53:13 | 44:6 56:18 | 46:17 | 61:20 64:19 | | |
| complicated | conversation | creates | deck | direction | | |
| 61:10 | 37:22 | 40:14 41:1 | 26:7 34:18 35:6,7, | 57:16 58:13 59:1 | | |
| comply | corner | creating | 10 | disagree | | |
| 8:24 | 24:24,25 25:22 | 25:8 41:4 45:22 | deep | 51:25 | | |
| Compton | 57:7 | creative | 10:21 11:21 | discuss | | |
| 12:1,3,17,20,24 | correct | 9:6 17:17 18:15 | deeper | 13:12 20:22 38:7 | | |
| 13:5,10,14 64:2 | 8:22 13:2 14:9 | 19:3 | 19:20 | discussed | | |
| conceptual | 15:4 16:6 28:25 | cross-hatch | defense | 20:5 | | |
| | 34:17 | | 48:12 | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| discussion 27:13 36:4 37:9 | easier 53:18,21 | evening 7:8 | feedback 18:22 62:8 | flush 12:19 |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| 39:11 47:23 district | easiest 60:9 | Eventually 26:18 | feel 13:3 19:1 25:5 | focused 55:2 |
| 44:7 | | | 33:4 34:11 39:9 | |
| Ditto | effect 40:15 43:1 58:23 | examine 7:24 | 44:21 | folks 57:8 |
| 18:3 | | | feeling | |
| | egress | examples | 35:2 | follow |
| Division 54:23 | 14:6 | 44:19 | feet | 59:7,12,16,18 61:19 63:25 |
| | eight-foot | exceed | 7:15,16 8:1 9:9 | |
| document 44:5,18 | 40:13 | 15:18 | 12:23,24,25 13:25 | foot 11:21,22 12:17,18 |
| · · | eight-foot-six | exception | 14:1,11,12 15:15 | 13:4 31:1 41:25 |
| Donna 9:10 18:13 23:11 | 30:23 | 11:16 47:23 49:10, 13 | 16:7 19:20 21:20, | 47:16,25 49:24,25 |
| 25:19 32:23 | elaborate | | 22 22:13 24:18 | 60:22 61:2 |
| door | 28:24 | Excuse 11:1 55:20 | 26:8 30:23,25 40:7,10,13,14 | footage |
| 30:21 33:2,23 | elements | | 49:10 51:8 57:1, | 10:7 17:2,4,12 |
| | 10:11 43:1,2,3 | existing | 24,25 58:1,2 60:2 | 21:16,21 24:10,17 |
| doors 28:19,21 29:25 | elevate | 8:2,11,19,24 12:15 22:14,18 34:12 | 61:1 62:12 64:8 | 47:14 49:13,15 |
| 30:19 33:13 | 45:15,17,19 | 41:19 43:20 | FEMA | 58:19,21 60:14 |
| draft | elevated | exorbitant | 54:21 55:8 | footprint |
| 44:11 46:6 | 38:23 39:8 40:6 | 38:20 | figure | 13:24 |
| drafting | 45:4,5 56:12,16,22 | expensive | 45:20 | forever |
| 53:8 | elevating | 42:15 | figures | 23:13 |
| drainage | 38:12,14 39:20 | explained | 46:7 | forget |
| 14:23 | elevation | 60:24 | final | 26:11 |
| | 7:2 28:5 29:22,24 39:9 40:9 42:5 | | 9:19 10:5 23:23 | form |
| dramatic 40:15 57:25 | | explaining 39:7 50:8 | 27:10 37:11,12,13 | 14:16 25:8 |
| | elevations | | 62:18,19 | formal |
| draw 58:5 | 10:13 11:4 28:20 | express 7:22 | find | 38:6 52:11 |
| | employee | | 33:5 36:21 45:13 | format |
| drawings 7:12 | 48:22 | extra 44:25 47:5 | 49:6 65:3 | 44:16 |
| | enclosure | | fine | forms |
| drawn 23:10 | 28:23 | eyebrow 11:11 | 9:1,9 23:5,8 31:22, | 25:10 |
| | encourage | | 25 37:13 43:22 | formula |
| DRB 10:10 38:21 39:13 | 37:2 | eyes 55:1 | 64:8 | 39:18 |
| 40:2 42:24 43:18 | encouraged | 33:1 | finished | formulate |
| 52:12.14 53:13.14. | 36:20 | | 47:9 49:1 | 52:14 |
| 16 56:6 | encouragement | F | fit | forward |
| drill | 36:22 37:16 | facade | 18:18 | 7:15,20 8:2 44:12 |
| 56:3 | encroaching | 10:8 19:15,21 20:8 | five-foot | foundation |
| drive | 13:7 28:9 | 24:12 | 10:20 14:2 | 28:22 43:5 56:17 |
| 18:11 23:4 | encroachment | facing | flat | friendly |
| driveway | 28:10 29:15 32:15 | 14:3 | 64:5 | 19:21 |
| 12:25 13:7,8 14:5, | end | fall | flood | friends |
| 7,8,22,25 60:1 | 15:25 37:22 59:9 | 54:12,17 | 12:5 38:15,20 39:8 | 27:19 |
| 64:3 | 62:4 | familiar | 40:9 42:10,14 50:9,16,22 51:17, | front |
| Duke | ends | 63:6 64:16 | 20 52:4 54:5,23 | 11:14,19 13:23,24 |
| 8:25 20:4 23:19 | 41:3 | family | flooding | 14:2 19:5,18,19,21 |
| 31:21 35:3 47:21 | entered | 22:5,6 24:24 29:12 | 13:10,11 57:7 | 22:12 24:12 25:4, |
| 50:10 53:5 | 13:1 | favor | floor | 23 26:7,14,15 30:9 33:18,20,21 35:8 |
| | enunciated | 9:22 20:4 21:3 | 11:11,13 16:2,4 | |
| E | 58:25 | 24:1 27:14 34:1,14 | 19:13 24:10 30:22 | frontage 43:8 |
| e-mail | estate | 37:5 | 60:21 | |
| 57:18 | 42:17 | | | frustrated |
| 20 | | | | |

| n Re: Design Revie | ew Board | | ; | September 16, 2015 |
|--|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| 51:13 | 25 22:2 24:22 | hardwood | 29:8,11 31:23 | |
| | 25:14,19,25 32:3, | 33:6 | 32:20 33:1 34:8 | |
| full | 4,5,25 33:10,13 | | 37:19,21 38:12,17, | |
| 56:7 | 34:2 35:9,16 | hate | 18,22 39:7,10,15 | I'on |
| | 36:12,24 46:1,8 | 53:22 | 40:2,21 41:6 43:15 | 27:25 |
| G | 58:18 61:15 | hear | 44:7 45:1,5,11,14, | |
| | ground | 9:18 16:1 20:20 | 19 46:4 47:23 | idea |
| garden | 40:7,8 | 23:22 27:9 34:2 | 49:19 50:12 51:25 | 33:14 53:24 |
| 25:1 | , | 35:25 37:25 | 52:6 55:10 56:16, | illegal |
| gave | group 38:7 39:14 52:15 | heard | 25 | 48:16 |
| 26:6 59:1 | 53:20,21 56:5 | 62:6 | historical | immediately |
| generally | · · | hearing | 42:6,7,8,9 46:24 | 7:23 |
| 27:6 46:13 47:6 | grown | 16:24 65:6 | 47:5,16 | impact |
| | 22:6 | hearings | hits | 23:4,5 53:24 58:3 |
| generate 46:6 | guess | 48:24,25 | 17:17 27:6 | impacts |
| | 35:2,20 38:10 | · · | | 52:6 |
| get-out | 39:18 55:14 | heartscape 43:11 | hitting 19:17 | |
| 51:13 | guest | | | impervious |
| Gilhuly | 22:11 | Heather | hoc | 14:11,21 15:7 |
| 7:21,24 | guidance | 8:20 | 53:12 56:5 | 16:22 17:2 |
| GIS | 43:17 44:25 45:1 | helpful | hold | impression |
| 46:3 53:19 | 57:21 | 63:16 | 16:21 46:13 62:12 | 17:25 |
| give | guideline | helps | home | improve |
| 9:19 15:11 16:18 | 44:19 60:7,17 61:8 | 29:23 | 8:20 10:5 14:7 | 34:1 |
| 19:5 23:23 27:10 | · · | HENDERSON | 18:25 21:14 24:8 | improved |
| 30:13 42:8,9 | guidelines 37:19,21 39:16 | 8:18,23 10:2 13:19 | 29:7 35:12 36:11 | 31:25 33:25 |
| 43:17,22,24 44:2 | 40:21 43:15,17 | 14:2,5 15:14 17:7, | 38:17 50:12 51:7 | improvement |
| 50:17 52:20 54:7 | 44:4,23 48:14 | 10 20:16,25 21:9 | homeowner | 61:4 |
| 57:20 61:9 | 55:10,12,16 59:2 | 22:24 24:5 25:18 | 36:21 | inception |
| giving | 60:8 61:7,8,24 | 26:14 27:22 31:20 | homes | 38:21 |
| 47:13 62:10 | 62:10 63:18 | 34:16,21 35:1 | 38:23 39:8 46:24 | |
| glanced | GHV | 37:15,20 38:5,11 | 49:19 56:12 | inches |
| 23:10 | guy 63:8 | 39:5,25 40:12 | honestly | 12:15 21:20 24:19 |
| | | 41:14,22 42:24 | 19:17 | incident |
| goobly 45:16 | guys 17:22 63:17 | 43:5 44:1,5,10,15, | | 40:25 |
| | 17:22 05:17 | 24 45:6,24 46:3 47:20 48:6,10,12, | hoping 33:7 58:14 | inclined |
| good | | 17 50:4 51:4 52:9, | | 16:2,13,18,23 |
| 7:8 9:3 23:15,17, | H | 23 53:4,11 54:13 | house | 17:20 19:23 |
| 18,21 26:1,3 27:4, 5,6,8,19 31:8,25 | | 55:6 56:5,11 57:4, | 7:19,25 8:3,7,11, | included |
| 32:4 33:5,6 35:22 | half | 8,11,17,20 | 13 11:18 12:15,21, 23 18:6,21 21:25 | 13:18,21 |
| 36:9 41:11 46:12 | 51:6 | HERLONG | 22:9,12,16 24:24 | incorporate |
| 50:1,22 52:22 | hall | 9:5 13:17 19:9 | 25:4,6 30:25 31:24 | 41:20 43:10 |
| 57:15 65:2 | 14:14 19:1 | 20:21 23:2 27:1 | 32:3,4,6,9,12,25 | |
| gook | happened | 32:7,13 36:7,19 | 33:16,17,23,24 | incorporated 42:25 |
| 45:16 | 39:22,23 | 37:25 41:17,24 | 34:15 35:9,11 | |
| | happy | 48:19 50:2,6,15 | 39:20,24 40:14,16 | incorporating |
| grade 43:10 | 8:4,12 49:6,16 | 52:2 58:5 60:4,15 | 41:2,9,12,15 42:4 | 10:12 28:20 |
| | hard | 61:5,23 63:3,9,16, | 43:6 47:14,15,16, | increase |
| grandkids | 47:3,5 59:10 | 23 64:4 | 18 49:24,25 51:25 | 17:11,13 29:19 |
| 22:7 | , | high | 56:10,23,24 57:7, | increases |
| grant | Hardi-plank | 36:9 39:9 40:21 | 24 58:3 59:13,25 | 14:17 19:23 50:17 |
| 16:2,18,23 17:2, | 35:23 | 57:2 | 60:2,3 61:21 | increasing |
| 10,24 19:23 43:23 | Hardiplank | hip | houses | 28:10 29:15 |
| Grayson | 31:11 34:6,7 35:3, | 35:11 | 16:12 27:4 38:14 | incredibly |
| 29:3 | 21 36:16 | hire | 39:22 51:2 54:2,4 | 42:23 |
| great | hardship | 48:21 | 56:21 57:23 62:8 | independent |
| 7:3 9:9,13,15 | 41:4 51:22 | historic | Hugo | 61:24 63:18 |
| 10:23 17:19 19:7, | | 8:11 27:24 28:2,14 | 35:10 | 01.27 03.10 |
| | 1 | 0.11 27.27 20.2,14 | | |

| In Re: Design Review Board September 16, 2015 | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|
| India | item | Land | listed | 34:4,14 36:9 37:15 | |
| 61:22 | 10:2 21:9 38:6 39:14 45:25 48:2 | 50:4 | 27:22 | 39:1,2 52:7,16 55:3,19 56:6,7 | |
| indicating 48:7 | | Landscaper 56:17 | live 7:18 29:5 32:6 | 58:17 62:20 63:20, | |
| individually | J | Lane | liveable | 21 | |
| 46:23 | Jason | 56:24,25 | 32:5 | making 33:12 44:17 46:17 | |
| information 53:19 | 31:15 | language 44:16 | living 29:21 | man | |
| initially | Jasper | larger | location | 17:15 | |
| 40:1 | 21:7,8,10,13 | 30:16 33:1 | 22:1 | Management | |
| initiative | Jerry 63:7,9 | lasts | long | 10:15 | |
| 54:24 | job | 36:10 | 12:21,25 18:11 22:11 36:10 49:22 | map 46:6 52:4 54:5 | |
| input 39:4 52:19,20 57:9 | 46:12 | latest 14:15 | 53:10 | mapping | |
| inside | Joe | lattice | looked | 54:24 | |
| 29:10 60:2 | 8:17 15:4 22:23 25:17 29:13 31:19 | 28:23,24 | 17:15 40:6 | maps 51.12.12 | |
| installed | 39:21 55:23 58:15 | launch | loss 7:17,18,19 | 51:12,13 mark | |
| 43:4 | 62:16 | 50:25 | lot | 19:17 | |
| instances 43:23 | judgement 31:24 | Leading 14:6 | 15:24 18:16,18 | married | |
| insurance | jump | leads | 19:4 21:18 22:10 | 22:7 | |
| 38:15,20 42:11,14, | 40:1 | 48:21 | 25:9,21 26:4 30:2, 3 32:3,4,25 33:18, | marsh 22:4 | |
| 15 45:9 51:17,20 | jumping | leave | 20 41:4 42:1 50:8 | mass | |
| integrity 46:14 | 45:21 | 50:18 | 62:7 | 59:11 64:3,6,9 | |
| interesting | K | led 47:22 | lots 33:18,19 43:11 | massing | |
| 57:23 | | leeway | 59:14 | 16:11,15,17 58:23 | |
| interrupting | KENYON | 43:23,25 | love | material 15:17 58:2 | |
| 55:20 intrusion | 11:1 kids | left 41:10,11,18 45:12 | 22:16 29:8,9 lovely | mayor | |
| 32:10 | 22:7 58:19 | 46:17 | 9:17 25:24 | 49:5 | |
| inventory | kills | length | low | Mcgee 27:19 | |
| 45:14 46:2 49:7 54:3 | 42:16 | 12:22 19:15 | 47:18 50:18 | meant | |
| invest | kind 15:18 16:23 17:3,6 | letter 7:5 13:16 14:13 | lower 51:8 | 37:12 | |
| 38:16 | 22:9 25:1,4,6,8 | 16:16 | luck | measures | |
| involved | 26:8 53:12 55:23 58:23 | level | 65:2 | 19:3 | |
| 49:6,16 53:7 | kindly | 41:16 | LUNR | meet 16:16 17:5,7 39:8 | |
| island 7:7 12:9 18:17,22 | 7:23 | light 7:19 | 47:21 50:3 | 40:9 52:12,22 59:8 | |
| 22:2 25:6 28:6 | kitchen | lights | | 60:7,10,16,18,19 61:12,17 62:9 | |
| 29:14 35:12 44:18 45:12 46:5,14 51:6 | 29:18,20 | 28:21 | | 63:18 | |
| 52:1 63:13 | knee-jerk 41:3 | limit | made 9:1 32:5 57:9 | meet all | |
| isolated | knew | 49:10 limited | Madeline | 58:17 | |
| 40:25 | 17:9 | 15:15 | 27:19 | meeting 10:10 24:14 37:22 | |
| issue 15:11 16:12,15,17, | knocking | limits | main | 38:1 47:22 50:2,6, | |
| 24 20:6 38:9,10,11 | 17:21 | 16:22 | 38:11 45:7 | 10 52:10 53:14,16 56:3 57:9 60:11 | |
| 39:16 42:22 51:1, 24 55:17,21 56:9 | | lines 12:10 58:5 | maintenance 28:15 36:11 | 62:15,22 64:17 | |
| issues | | link | make | meets | |
| 7:24 9:11 28:16 | L-shape 25:8 | 25:6 | 11:15 15:17 18:21 | 18:9 | |
| 57:24 | 23.0 | | 20:10 29:6,11,19, 21 30:16 31:1 33:3 | member | |
| | | | | | |

| 37:6 members morning members periodic depth dept | iii ito. Booigii itovio | W Board | | | coptomber 10, 2010 |
|--|-------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| montage 9:22 21:2 22:2 27:15 40:19 50:7 52:12 montoned 9:18 20:10, 19 21:4 23:2 23:3 27:10 35:5 23:22 27:9 34:3 35:23 36:14 45:15 50:10 35:19 42:1 44:12 49:3 30:24 35:23 36:14 45:15 30:24 35:19 42:1 44:12 49:3 30:14 30:14 35:17 30:14 multiple 30:18 55:14 minute 63:25 minute 63:25 minute 63:21 minute 63:21 minute 63:217 minute 55:14 minute 63:217 minutes 55:14 minutes 50:4 54:23 35:14 35:18 44:20 45:1 35:17 50:18 30:14 41:13 35:17 50:18 41:18 55:14 minutes 50:14 43:3 55:17 minutes 50:17 minutes 50:18 43:18 44:20 44:3 55:17 60:217 missing 55:14 mistake 50:4 54:23 nature 29:9 37:7 54:23 nature 29:9 37:7 54:23 nature 29:9 36:15 33:17 54:23 nature 29:9 33:17 54:23 nature 29:9 33:17 54:23 nature 29:9 33:17 54:23 nature 20:14 33:14 | | | | | |
| Solution Solution | 9:23 21:5 24:2 | mortgage | noticed | ordinances | penalty |
| 35:5 mentioned 23:22 27:9 34:3 more more | 52:12 | motion | number | original | pending |
| 11:14 34:5 37:24 25 37:2.5 O move messed 9:19 23:23 27:10 35:19 42:1 44:12 49:3 35:19 42:1 44:12 49:3 35:19 42:1 44:12 49:3 35:19 42:1 44:12 49:3 35:19 42:1 44:12 49:3 35:19 42:1 44:12 49:3 35:10 33:19 39:23 41:4 45:9,17 Osceola 46:23 47:3,13 40:5 49:15 51:2 outlined 35:21 moved 7:18 outlouring 40:17 17:11,12,13 21:19, 21:19 23:23 0 outlouring 40:17 17:11,12,13 21:19, 21:19 23:20 objections 35:17 overlooking 7:17 obstructionists 62:3 63:5,15 ocean 22:4 printing 33:17 ocean 62:5 ocean 22:4 printing 37:17 ocean 44:3 44:18 offset 55:14 mistake 50:45 4:23 offset 52:17 misunderstanding 60:21 neighbor 16:41 128:51 14:7 oneighbor/s 10:61 128:5,13 modify 10:11 128:5,13 modify 10:11 128:5,13 modify 10:11 128:5,13 moment 26:19 mistore 20:19 moisture 30:3 10:19 20:11 volume 20:10 volume | | 23:22 27:9 34:3 | | | people |
| Messed 30:124 35:19 42:1 44:12 59:24 62:11 7:19 60:3 moved 7:18 moved 7:18 moved 7:18 moved 7:18 moved 7:18 moving 23:20 moving 23:20 moverlooking 7:17 mover 7:17 mover 7:17 mover 7:17 mover 7:18 mover 7:18 mover 7:19 for mover mover | 11:14 34:5 37:24 | | <u> </u> | | 39:23 41:4 45:9,17 |
| The component Sing Single Singl | | 9:19 23:23 27:10 | | | 49:13 51:23 52:1 |
| Oz.11 | | 59:24 | oak | | perceived 15:12 |
| 30:18 56:15 minid 7:14 minute 62:17 mames 62:3 morrow ocean front 11:18 mistake 52:17 misunderstanding 60:21 mitigate 38:15 43:1 mighbor's 12:8 17:8 18:19 26:21 modest neighbor solds 12:8 17:8 18:19 26:21 modest neighbors 10:61 18:11.40:18 59:25 63:21 modification 10:61.12 8:17 8:10 moment 26:19 money 42:20 51:24 month | 62:11 | | | outpouring | |
| Modest Modification Modificati | 30:18 56:15 | | 23:20 | overlooking | |
| Minute N Sis:17 Sis:10 Sis:10 Sis:17 Sis:10 Sis:17 Sis:10 Sis:17 Sis:10 Sis:17 Sis:10 Sis:17 Sis:17 Sis:10 Sis:17 Sis:10 Sis:17 Sis:10 Sis:17 Sis:17 | 63:25 | | 8:7 | owner | 59:15 |
| minute 62:17 mames 63:5,15 marrow 21:18 mistake 50:14 mistake 50:14 mistake 50:14 mitigate 38:15 43:1 mitigate 38:15 43:1 mitigation 54:23 modest 22:20 modest 22:20 modest 22:20 modification 10:6,11 28:5,13 modiff 22:20 modest 22:20 modest 22:20 modest 22:20 modification 10:6,11 28:5,13 modiff 23:17,3:2:22 38:17 59:19 moisture 30:3 | 30:14 | | 35:17 | owners | 62:5 |
| missing 55:14 marrow 21:18 Natural 11:18 mistake 50:4 54:23 mature 29:9 mitigate 77:7 23:5 58:7 meighbor's 14:7 meighborhood 12:8 17:8 18:19 26:21 modest 10:16 18:11 40:18 59:25 63:21 modification 10:6,11 28:5,13 moment 26:19 month 19:11 20:6 54:18 month 19:16 month 19:11 20:6 54:18 month 19:10 noth 19:11 20:6 54:18 note noth 19:10 noth 19:11 20:6 54:18 note noth 19:10 noth 19:10 noth 19:11 20:6 54:18 note noth 19:10 noth 19:10 noth 19:11 20:6 54:18 note noth 19:10 noth 19: | | | | | 29:6 |
| missing 55:14 misstake 50:4 54:23 mature 29:9 meighbor 9:7 23:5 58:7 meighbor's 14:7 meighbors 10:6,11 28:5,13 modiffication 10:6,11 28:5,13 modiffy 10:18 15:16 21:1 38:17 59:19 monesture 30:3 moment 26:19 monest 20:19 monesture 30:3 moment 26:19 monest 20:10 monistoric 20:4 monoth 10:3 21:10 40:2 month 10:11 20:6,54:18 modiff calion 20:4 13:10 40:2 month 10:11 20:6,54:18 modiff calion 20:4 13:10 40:2 month 10:11 20:6,54:18 modiff calion 20:4 13:11 40:18 20:10 30:3 month 10:11 20:6,54:18 modiff calion 10:3 21:10 40:2 month 10:11 20:6,54:18 modiff calion 20:4 13:10 40:2 month 10:11 20:6,54:18 month 10:11 20:6,55:11 20:11 | | , , | 22:4 | P | 37:17 |
| mistake 50:4 54:23 offset 8:23 19:16 pack 44:3 petrous 60:21 neighbor 9:7 23:5 58:7 open 30:2 packet 7:9,10,15 8:2 Mitigation 14:7 operate 49:22 packet 7:9,10,15 8:2 Mobile 12:8 17:8 18:19 26:21 packet 7:9,10,15 8:2 Mobile 12:8 17:8 18:19 29:9 poprate 44:18 49:22 opinion 29:9 potographs 44:18 44:18 picture 56:16 58:20 parents 56:16 modification 59:25 63:21 opposed 43:24 45:21 52:9 park 29:23 48:9 10:18 15:16 21:1 38:10 23:12 27:1,4 30:6, 21:1 47:20 48:3,5 75:1 place 30:3 woisture 38:10 51:17,18,21 passes 36:17 39:23 moment 14:3,4 17:5 40:9 50:15 Pat 13:18,20 25:23 27:4 59:3 money 42:20 51:24 nonch 10:3 21:10 40:2 </td <td></td> <td>21:18</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>18:1 41:8</td> | | 21:18 | | | 18:1 41:8 |
| misunderstanding 60:21 | | 50:4 54:23 | | pack | |
| mitigate 38:15 43:1 neighbor's neighbor's 14:7 open 30:2 62:21 Pettigrew 7:9,10,15 8:2 Mobile 64:23 neighborhood 12:8 17:8 18:19 26:21 openate 49:22 packet 49:22 13:21 24:11 photographs 44:18 modest 22:20 neighbors 10:16 18:11 40:18 opportunity 19:10 parents 58:20 56:16 modification 10:6,11 28:5,13 nice 23:12 27:1,4 30:6, 10:18 15:16 21:1 option 32:12 27:1,4 30:6, 12 31:1,7 32:22 option 23:12 27:1,4 30:6, 12 31:1,7 32:22 option 21:1 47:20 48:3,5 51:17,18,21 parents 57:1 place 29:23 48:9 moisture 30:3 Nine-and-a-half 40:12 options 49:8 55:13 passes 59:8,18 60:8,22 place 36:17 places 39:23 moment 26:19 nine-foot 14:3,4 nine-foot 14:3,4 17:5 40:9 50:15 59:8,18 60:8,22 past 61:12 plan 7:25 8:5,14 10:16 Monoli 29:4 nonchistoric 10:3 21:10 40:2 ordinance 8:24 15:13,25 16:17 17:4 19:13 24:8,15 43:20 Paul 10:4 11:3 planning 44:14 planning 49:12 38:19 planning 19:16 parents 56:16 parents 57:1 planning 47:14 parents 59:23 48:9 parents 57:1 parents 22:2,8 35:22 37:1, 17:53:17 parents 22:2,8 35:22 37:1, 17:53:17 parents 22:2,8 35:22 3 | | 29:9 | | | 1 |
| Mitigation 54:23 neighbor/s 14:7 operate 49:22 opinion 34:9 61:7 paneling 29:9 opinion 34:9 61:7 photographs 44:18 picture 56:16 Mobile 64:23 neighbors 26:21 opinion 34:9 61:7 opportunity 19:10 opposed 43:24 45:21 52:9 opinion 34:9 61:7 parents 56:16 opice 29:23 48:9 opicure 29:23 48:9 opinion 34:9 61:7 picce 29:23 48:9 opinion 34:9 61:7 opposed 43:24 45:21 52:9 opinion 34:9 61:7 park 55:11 opice 29:23 48:9 opinion 34:9 61:7 opinion 34:9 61:7 park 55:11 opinion 34:9 61:7 opinion 34:9 61:1 opinion 34:9 61:7 opinion 34:9 61:0 opinion 3 | mitigate | 9:7 23:5 58:7 | open 30:2 | 62:21 | |
| Mobile 64:23 neighborhood 12:8 17:8 18:19 26:21 opinion 34:9 61:7 29:9 picture 56:16 modest 22:20 neighbors 10:16 18:11 40:18 59:25 63:21 opportunity 19:10 park 57:1 56:16 modification 10:6,11 28:5,13 nice 23:12 27:1,4 30:6, 10:18 15:16 21:1 38:17 59:19 option 23:12 27:1,4 30:6, 12 31:1,7 32:22 38:10 option 23:14 47:20 48:3,5 51:17,18,21 part 22:2,8 35:22 37:1, 17 53:17 place 22:11 41:3,6 moisture 30:3 Nine-and-a-half 40:12 options 49:8 55:13 passes 36:17 places 39:23 moment 26:19 nine-foot 14:3,4 order 17:5 40:9 50:15 59:8,18 60:8,22 61:12 Pat 32:25 46:15 47:24 49:1,3,4,5 plan 7:25 8:5,14 10:16 13:18,20 25:23 27:4 59:3 Monoli 29:4 nonhistoric 10:3 21:10 40:2 10:3 21:10 40:2 10:9:12 55:11 12 Paul 10:4 11:3 19:16 plans 8:7 15:19 62:15 month 19:11 20:6 54:18 plantings | Mitigation | 14:7 | | | |
| modest 22:20 neighbors 10:16 18:11 40:18 opportunity 19:10 park 58:20 piece 29:23 48:9 modification 10:6,11 28:5,13 nice 23:12 27:1,4 30:6, 10:18 15:16 21:1 38:17 59:19 option 23:12 27:1,4 30:6, 12 31:1,7 32:22 38:10 option 21:1 47:20 48:3,5 51:17,18,21 park 57:1 place 22:11 41:3,6 place 33:17 | Mobile | 12:8 17:8 18:19 | opinion | 29:9 | |
| modification 10:16 18:11 40:18 opposed 57:1 place modify 23:12 27:1,4 30:6, 12 31:1,7 32:22 option 21:1 47:20 48:3,5 part 22:2,8 35:22 37:1, 17 53:17 placement moisture Nine-and-a-half options 21:1 47:20 48:3,5 place 22:11 41:3,6 place moisture Nine-and-a-half options past places 30:3 nine-foot 14:3,4 place 39:23 money 42:20 51:24 nonconformity 59:8,18 60:8,22 Pat 32:25 46:15 47:24 planning Monoli nonhistoric 10:3 21:10 40:2 8:24 15:13,25 Paul 10:4 11:3 planning 19:11 20:6 54:18 notch 19:16 24:8,15 43:20 pay 19:12 38:19 plantings | modest | neighbors | opportunity | 58:20 | piece |
| modify 23:12 27:1,4 30:6, 12 31:1,7 32:22 38:10 option 22:2,8 35:22 37:1, 17 53:17 placement 47:14 moisture Nine-and-a-half 40:12 options passes plan 30:3 nine-foot 14:3,4 17:5 40:9 50:15 past 18:11 38:13 plan money 42:20 51:24 nonconformity 28:11 59:8,18 60:8,22 61:12 32:25 46:15 47:24 49:1,3,4,5 27:4 59:3 month 19:11 20:6 54:18 19:16 24:8,15 43:20 50:12 55:11 12 10:4 11:3 plan 19:12 38:19 19:16 19:16 19:12 38:19 19:12 38:19 19:10 and the plans | modification | 59:25 63:21 | opposed | 57:1 | place |
| 38:17 59:19 38:10 51:17,18,21 passes 39:23 moisture Nine-and-a-half 40:12 49:8 55:13 past plan moment 14:3,4 17:5 40:9 50:15 Pat 13:18,20 25:23 money 28:11 59:8,18 60:8,22 32:25 46:15 47:24 27:4 59:3 Monoli nonhistoric 10:3 21:10 40:2 8:24 15:13,25 10:4 11:3 plans 19:11 20:6 54:18 19:16 24:8,15 43:20 19:12 38:19 plantings | modify | 23:12 27:1,4 30:6, | option | 22:2,8 35:22 37:1, | placement |
| 30:3 40:12 49:8 55:13 past plan moment 14:3,4 17:5 40:9 50:15 Pat 13:18,20 25:23 money nonconformity 28:11 59:8,18 60:8,22 32:25 46:15 47:24 49:1,3,4,5 plan Monoli nonhistoric 10:3 21:10 40:2 ordinance 8:24 15:13,25 Paul 10:4 11:3 plans month 19:11 20:6 54:18 19:16 49:8 55:13 past 10:11 20:6 54:18 7:25 8:5,14 10:16 19:11 20:6 54:18 10:3 21:10 40:2 61:12 Paul 10:4 11:3 plans 8:7 15:19 62:15 plantings plantings | 38:17 59:19 | | | | |
| 14:3,4 17:5 40:9 50:15 59:8,18 60:8,22 61:12 59:8,18 60:8,22 61:12 61:12 61:14 11:3 planning 44:14 | 30:3 | 40:12 | 49:8 55:13 | | |
| Monoli 29:4 month 19:11 20:6 54:18 19:16 19:16 19:14 20:50 51:24 28:11 20:6 54:18 19:16 19:16 19:16 19:17 37:17 17:4 19:13 24:8,15 43:20 19:12 38:19 19:12 38:19 19:10 38:19 19: | 26:19 | 14:3,4 | 17:5 40:9 50:15 | | 13:18,20 25:23 |
| Monoil 29:4 10:3 21:10 40:2 8:24 15:13,25 10:4 11:3 plans 8:7 15:19 62:15 19:11 20:6 54:18 19:16 19:16 24:8,15 43:20 19:12 38:19 19:10 38:19 19:10 38:19 | 42:20 51:24 | 28:11 | | | |
| month 19:11 20:6 54:18 19:16 24:8,15 43:20 19:12 38:19 plantings | 29:4 | 10:3 21:10 40:2 | 8:24 15:13,25 16:17 17:4 19:13 | 10:4 11:3 | |
| | | | | | |

| In Re: Design Revie | w Board | | | September 16, 2015 |
|---|---|--|---|--|
| playing 49:16 | pretty 16:11 27:7 46:12 | proposal 28:8 | raise 41:6 51:18 57:24 | 43:22 52:11,15 56:6,7 |
| pleasing 63:20,21 | 57:25 prevent | proposed 10:19 13:23 47:21 | 58:1 raised | recommendations 52:13 53:25 55:7 |
| pocket 30:1 | 14:25 previous | proposing 15:17 | 38:2 39:24 57:2 raising | recommended 43:16 |
| point 8:19 14:5 34:4 48:2 58:6 | 14:24 previously 14:17 27:25 53:4 | protrude 12:14 | 38:14 40:13 43:9 ramifications 19:6 | record 36:20 37:3 |
| pokes | Price | providing 44:25 45:1 | ran | rectangle 59:15,19,21,22 |
| 26:8 pool | 33:22 Price's | public 7:4,5 11:24 22:22 | 58:14,15 Randy | rectangular 62:9 |
| 25:1 porch | 33:16 Prices | 25:15 31:16,17 56:3 | 39:21 58:15 rates | redrafted 49:1 |
| 8:20 10:19,21 11:15,20,21,22 | 33:19 primary | pull 11:16 46:5 | 38:15 re-sited | redrafting 48:25 |
| 13:24 14:2,3,4 19:18,19 20:2 | 63:24 principal | purpose 18:3 44:22 45:3 | 8:8,13 reacting | reference 7:9 13:22 |
| portion 19:13 28:25 63:2 | 10:7 17:1,3,11 19:14 21:16,21 | purposes 45:9 | 62:6 reaction | references 48:18 |
| portions 28:19 | 24:9,12,16 principle | purview 15:9 | 41:3 read | referring 47:24 |
| posed 39:12 40:20 | 10:7 privacy | push 13:5 | 7:5 13:15 14:13 16:23 36:14 | regular 53:16 |
| position 47:13 52:19 | 7:17 private | pushing 54:24 | reading 16:4 | regulate 40:21 |
| positive 8:6 | 25:1 problem | put 15:24 30:25 32:4 | ready 27:18 51:14 59:24 | release 55:7 |
| possibly 38:19 39:15 40:20 | 15:12 16:20 34:15 36:11 42:2,7,10 | 34:17 35:10 38:5, 19 41:2,14 43:2 | 60:1 real | relief 15:11 16:2,4,19,24 |
| post-hugo 34:17 | 45:21 51:15 54:1 55:21 56:2,18 61:18 63:3 | 53:23 | 42:17 45:21 rear | 17:3,6,25 18:9 19:6 20:18 21:15, |
| potential 7:18 | problems 51:5 | Q | 28:20 29:22 30:19 reason | 19 24:8,12,18 29:15 47:13 58:18, |
| prefinished 36:9 | process 40:1 44:17 | qualified 17:6 | 15:24 42:23 reasonable | 21 59:6,8,11 60:9, 10,13,23 61:4,13 62:10,12 64:18 |
| prefirm 46:4 | project 10:9,11 28:25 32:6 | quality 32:11 36:9 | 29:19 reasoning | relocated 56:12 |
| preliminary 10:5 | 42:13,25 50:25 projects | question 12:1 13:17 39:12 40:20 41:11 43:13 | 32:21 reasons | remedy 15:11 |
| premiums 38:20 | 38:22 40:2 | 45:10 54:10 55:10 questions | 19:22 recall | remember 18:4 35:16 |
| prepare 55:23 | properties 52:6,7 | 25:12 31:14 62:7 quick | 41:17 recap | remove |
| present 16:13 19:4 39:13 | property 7:16,18 8:1 10:3, 17 13:6 14:6,16, | 37:22 44:2 quorum | 24:16 received | 31:4,5 renovation 35:14 |
| 47:6 52:13 presented | 18,25 16:14 21:10 27:24 28:2 29:4 | 53:6,9,13 55:21 56:2 | 47:7 56:14 recently | replace 30:4,5,16 31:10 |
| 19:2 24:6 44:6,11 46:25 | 32:17 34:8 38:16 39:17 41:17 43:7, 12,18 44:19 45:1 | R | 13:19 21:11 29:4 40:4 56:10 | 36:12 replaced |
| presenting 19:21 | 50:1,13 proportionately | rain | recommend 43:17 55:22 | 34:6 replacement |
| preserve 22:10 | 25:5 | 14:22 | recommendation | 28:14 |

| ili ke. Desigli kevie | w board | | | September 16, 2015 |
|--------------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| replacing 28:17,19,22 29:25 | 20:11 34:9 review | sales 63:2 | 19:13 21:17,19 22:13 24:11,18,21 | sits 41:15 |
| represented 8:5 | 10:10 14:14 18:4 40:1,2 53:18 62:18 | sample 44:10 | 26:15 28:9 29:16 60:21 64:7,8 | sitting 64:6,9 |
| representing 29:3 | reviewed 10:9 14:15 42:25 | SANDERS 9:14 18:3 23:15,25 | 18:8 24:10 26:15 | situation 12:5 |
| 8:5 representing | 40:1,2 53:18 62:18 reviewed | 44:10 SANDERS 9:14 18:3 23:15,25 26:1,11 27:12 33:12 36:2 42:22 45:8 49:11,18 51:3,9,16,21 53:7 54:9,21 55:3 56:9, 23 57:5,10 60:20 61:3 64:13 scope 51:1 53:25 54:1 screen 8:19 13:22 51:7 screwing 46:17 sea-to-sea 22:3 Seabreeze 56:24,25 second-class 50:13 section 14:19 19:14 22:23 25:16 31:17 sections 60:8 selected 57:18 selection 33:3 send 61:21 sending 57:17 sense 55:3 separate 41:21 September 7:11 series 30:5 | setbacks 18:8 24:10 26:15 sets 61:24 63:18 seven-foot-two 30:24 shared 7:16 8:1 short 58:10 shot 8:19 55:17 show 7:14 showed 48:13 shown 8:13 shows 7:25 46:4 side 10:8,13,19,25 11:3 19:5,12,15 20:7 21:14,17,19 22:13 24:10,18,20 25:1, 2,24 28:9 30:14,15 61:1,2 sides 11:6 siding 11:7,8 31:5,6,10 33:5 34:5,10,12,24 35:1 36:6,8,10,13, 22 37:16 similar 30:6 31:11 simple 22:20 59:4 simply 44:10 single-family 24:8 | 64:6,9 situation 12:5 six-foot-eight 30:23 six-over-six 28:17 30:10 size 30:17 skinny 19:4 SLC 29:2 slid 43:6 sliding 32:8 slightly 43:6,10 56:17 small 21:20 22:5 29:5 39:10 53:21 smaller 53:20 smarter 15:22 Snyder 44:11 solely 56:10 solution 9:6 23:3 36:21 sort 16:15 17:22 46:18 55:15 56:18 59:10 sounds 55:15,17 source 28:1 South 54:24 special |
| restraints 18:25 | 14:19,23,25 15:6 16:20 | session 37:8 set | sir 8:18 10:23 21:6,23 22:24 24:22 25:18 37:7 62:1 | 47:23 49:9,13 specific 25:11 62:10 |
| resubmitted 13:20 retains 8:10 | Sabrina 28:3 29:2 34:5 | 7:25 12:19 18:5 43:16 setback 7:16 9:7 10:8 | site 7:25 13:18,20 siting 8:4 | speed 50:8 spent 48:23 |
| rethink | 35:20 | 11:11,13 13:9 16:4 | 3.4 | |

| Į | In Re: Design Review Board September 16, 2015 | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| | spoken | 19 | support | 64:1 | trees | |
| | 53:4 | straight | 8:12 | things | 7:18 | |
| | spring | 12:10,13 22:9 | supposed | 17:17 40:23 43:11 | turning | |
| | 54:19,20 | straightforward | 17:5 | 46:22 49:8 | 41:1 | |
| | square | 22:19 25:3 | surprised | thinking | two-and-a-half | |
| | 10:7 14:11,12 | street | 32:7 | 31:6 | 40:7 | |
| | 15:15 16:7 17:1,3, 11 21:16,21,22 | 7:9,10 8:2 9:8 | surrounding | thinks | two-over-two | |
| | 24:10,16 41:25 | 19:22 22:13 24:3 43:7 56:15 | 40:18 | 35:24 | 28:18 30:9,12 | |
| | 47:14,15,24 49:12, | | survey | thought | type 53:19 | |
| | 15,24,25 58:19,21 | streetscape 56:19 | 28:6 | 11:15 17:15 31:16 38:4 48:8 | | |
| | 60:14 | strong | surveys 14:17,21 | threshold | typical 25:8 | |
| | staff 28:11 37:24 38:13 | 50:19 | Swackie | 15:18 47:25 | | |
| | 39:13 40:20 43:18 | structure | 33:21 | throw | Typically 53:13 | |
| | 52:10,12 53:18 | 8:24 22:18 38:18 | 33.21 | 46:19 | 33.13 | |
| | stairs | 39:10 41:7,13,18, | T | time | | |
| | 26:7,9 | 19,23 42:1 | | 9:2,5 11:10 14:10 | | |
| | stand | structures | tackling | 19:9 26:4 27:7 | Uh-huh | |
| | 9:2 | 25:7 38:12 41:21 45:5,11,15,20 46:4 | 49:16 | 36:10 38:3 51:14 57:3 58:13,16 | 44:9 | |
| | standard | 47:5 | takes | 61:10 62:14 | Ultimately | |
| | 21:16 | study | 39:9 | tiny | 39:12 | |
| | standards | 39:14 45:25 48:2 | taking 54:6 | 29:18 | underneath 31:7 35:22 57:2 | |
| | 10:6 17:8 24:9 55:11 59:7 64:17 | 60:6 61:8 | | today | | |
| | standing | studying | talk 15:5 33:25 37:21 | 9:2 14:14 15:22,23 | understand 32:21 61:10 | |
| | 32:9 | 52:10 | 47:11 50:22 61:7 | 25:22 | understands | |
| | standpoint | stuff | 63:4 | told | 65:4 | |
| | 32:21 | 15:24 46:10 63:12 | talked | 39:13 54:11 | Unique | |
| | start | style 12:7,9 | 46:25 54:16 | tomorrow 15:23 | 22:1 | |
| | 15:2 23:1 25:20 | Í . | talking | | universe | |
| | 27:16 46:1 | subject 8:8 21:2 | 45:20 51:1 57:14 64:4 | Tonight 17:12 | 45:14,23 | |
| | state | submit | tall | top | unusual | |
| | 28:16 36:19 | 30:19 | 42:23 64:5,6 | 34:22 55:9 59:22 | 32:8 42:2 | |
| | stated 9:23 21:5 24:2 | submittal | taller | total | upstairs | |
| | 27:15 37:6 | 19:24 | 30:21 | 12:22 49:12,21,22 | 61:1 | |
| | stay | submitted | target | touched | utilize 38:18 | |
| | 36:5 37:8 50:21 | 8:8 9:20 13:20 | 27:7 | 29:10 | 30.10 | |
| | step | 14:17 23:24 31:3 35:20 | task | town | v | |
| | 35:4,23 36:18 | successful | 48:22 | 14:14 19:1 37:23, 24 38:9,25 39:6 | | |
| | 43:19 44:25 | 56:13 62:5 | team | 40:24 43:16 44:6, | variance | |
| | Steve 9:4 19:8 22:25 | successfully | 60:5 61:5 63:1 64:23 | 11,12 45:24 47:10 | 11:17 42:6,7,8,9 | |
| | 26:25 32:6 47:21 | 8:10 | ten | 48:13,22 50:7 | 49:18 | |
| | 53:5 54:3 55:22 | sudden | 30:25 40:10,12 | 52:3,5,17 55:23 62:7 | vast 61:4 | |
| | 57:18 | 22:8 | 51:2,4 57:24,25 | traditional | Vasta | |
| | stop | suggestion | 58:1 | 11:8 28:6 29:14 | 61:19 | |
| | 41:7 | 55:19 | theory | transom | Vastu | |
| | storm 10:15 12:5 14:23 | Sullivan's | 15:21 | 28:20 | 59:17 | |
| | 15:6 | 7:7 25:6,9 35:12 44:17 46:14 51:6 | thing 13:3 15:4,5 16:21 | transoms | vegetation | |
| | story | summer | 26:6 30:19 31:3 | 30:20 | 35:8 | |
| | 10:8 21:13 23:3 | 54:13 | 35:5 42:20 46:21 | treated | vertical | |
| | 24:20 32:14 34:16, | | 47:8,10 48:25 | 50:12 | 28:23 | |
| | | | 50:11,22 58:17 | | | |

| ln Re: Design Revie | w Board | | September 16, 2015 |
|--|--|--|--------------------|
| view 22:3,4 31:2 32:16 35:16 vinyl 31:5,6 34:21,25 35:3,24 visit 54:18 visual 56:18 58:2 volunteering 52:24 vote 16:18 17:24 36:16 37:4 56:7 W wait 52:3 55:4 waiting 51:12,13 walk 26:3 wall 12:18,21,25 13:6 18:11 30:1 32:8 64:5,6,9 wanted 22:5,17 30:18 38:23 42:5 49:2 51:17 59:12 water 10:15 12:5 14:18, 23,25 15:6 16:20 32:10 57:6 weave 22:14 WEBB 9:11,21 18:14 23:12 25:21 32:24 33:9 wedding 58:23 week 7:24 Weekley 63:2 weeks 7:22 weigh | wholesale 55:16 wife 29:4 WILSON 8:22 window 30:6,14 31:25 33:2 windows 28:14,15,16,17,18 29:25 30:1,15,22 32:8,9,20 33:13 34:24 59:14 wonderful 9:12 wondering 44:22 wood 29:9 30:1,6 32:11 34:23,24 35:22 36:6,8,9,21 37:16 wooden 28:14,15 work 18:17 20:2,9 33:5 45:13 47:5 48:1 53:23 63:9 working 43:16 worried 39:23 46:22 worth 27:4 would've 42:5 51:20 wraps 24:25 WRIGHT 9:1 20:5 23:20 27:5 31:22 34:4 36:5,14 52:24 53:2 55:19 56:1 58:8 62:2,23,25 64:21, 25 write 48:20 write 48:20 writing 7:9 wrong 15:4,5 48:15 49:9 wrote | y'all 53:23 60:20 yard 14:23 year 16:12 54:14,15 year-and-a-half 40:5 year-round 26:17 32:5 years 18:4 21:25 22:1 38:13 40:6 48:24 yesterday 15:22 you-all 39:14 47:22 Zone 50:17,22 51:6,8 54:6 zoning 10:6 15:13 16:16 21:15 24:8 42:8 43:20 46:20 59:6 | September 16, 2018 |
| | · · | | |
| | | | |